ORGANOIRON CHEMISTRY Annual Survey for the Year 1984*

ROBERT C. KERBER

Department of Chemistry State University of New York at Stony Brook Long Island, N.Y. 11794-3400 (U.S.A.)

CC) N	T	E	N	т	s	
----	-----	---	---	---	---	---	--

1.	Introduction	79
2.	Reviews and books	79
3.	Reactions of "naked" iron atoms and ions	80
4.	Compounds with η^1 -carbon ligands	81
	a. Alkyl- and aryliron compounds, R_n Fe	81
	b. Iron monocarbonyls, e.g. D_{4} FeCO	83
	c. Iron dicarbonyls, e.g. D ₂ Fe(CO) ₂	84
	d. Iron tricarbonyls, e.g.D ₂ Fe(CO) ₂	84
	e. Iron tetracarbonyls, e.g. $DFe(CO)_{\parallel}$ and $R_{2}Fe(CO)_{\parallel}$.	86
	f. Carbene complexes, e.g. $R_2CFe(CO)_1$	88
	g. Some selected reactions and properties of $Fe(CO)_{c}$.	90
5.	η^2 -Alkene and η^3 -allyl complexes	90
6.	Compounds with η^4 -ligands	92
	a. Complexes of heterodienes	92
	b. Complexes of acyclic dienes.	92
	c. Complexes of exocyclic dienes	93
	d. Complexes of cyclic dienes	94
7.	η^5 -Dienyl complexes	07
	a. Compounds with open pentadienvl ligands	91
	b. Cyclopentadienyldicarbonyliron hydride(FnH) and	51
	related compounds	98
	c. Fp-acylalkyl. and -carbene complexes	101
	d. Cyclopentadienyliron derivatives of m^2 to m^5 ligands	105
8.	Compounds with 76-arene ligands	106
9.	Bimetallic compounds	100
	a. Diiron compounds, derivatives of Fe.(CO).	100
	b. Derivatives of CpoFeo(CO)	110
	c. Heterobimetallic compounds	112
		112

* 1983 Survey: J. Organometal. Chem. 278 (1984) 205.

10.	Trin	uclear	· cl	uste	۶r	com	pou	nds	٠	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	113
	a.	Fe ₃ c	lus	ters	3	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	113
	b.	Fe ₂ M	clu	stei	^ 8	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	115
	c.	FeM2	clu	stei	° S	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	116
11.	Tetr	a- and	i po	lynı	10	lear	e 1	ust	er	com	pou	nds	•	•	•	٠	• .	•	116
12.	Refe	rences	з.	•	•	• .	•		•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	-	•	119

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS USED

acac	acetylacetonate, (MeCO) ₂ CH ⁻
An	any arene ring, such as benzene, C ₆ H ₆
Ar	an aryl ring, such as naphthyl, C ₁₀ H ₇ -
рру	2,2'-bipyridyl
СОТ	1,3,5,7-cyclooctatetraene
Cp	cyclopentadienyl, C ₅ H ₅
Cp♥	pentamethylcyclopentadienyl, C ₅ Me ₅
D	a 2-electron donor ligand such as a phosphine
DME	1,2-dimethoxyethane, sometimes called "glyme"
DMPE	1,2-bis(dimethylphosphino)ethane
DPPE	1,2-bis(diphenylphosphino)ethane
DPPM	bis(diphenylphosphino)methane
Et	ethyl, C ₂ H ₅ -
Fp	cyclopentadienyldicarbonyliron, CpFe(CO) ₂ -
Fp'	cyclopentadienyl(carbonyl)(triphenylphosphine)iron
Fp#	(pentamethylcyclopentadienyl)dicarbonyliron
Ft	the tricarbonyliron group, Fe(CO) ₃
HMP	hexamethylphosphorictriamide
LAH	lithium aluminum hydride
м	any transition metal other than iron
Me	methyl, CH ₃ -
MTHF	2-methyltetrahydrofuran
NMP	N-methyl-2-pyrrolidone
Ph	phenyl, C ₆ H ₅ -
Por	any porphyrin ligand coordinated as a dianion
PPN+	Ph ₃ P=N=PPh ₃ ⁺
R	any unicovalent organic group such as methyl
TCNE	tetracyanoethene
Tf	trifluoromethanesulfonyl group, F ₃ CSO ₂ -
THF	tetrahydrofuran
X	any halogen

1. INTRODUCTION

In this Annual Review, I attempt to cover the organoiron chemistry reported in journals published during calendar year 1984. Organoiron compounds are those compounds which contain at least one C-Fe bond; however Fe-CN compounds are not included in this review, and properties and reactions of the simple iron carbonyls are not described exhaustively. Ferrocenes are treated in Annual Surveys by B. W. Rockett and G. Marr.

The material is organized more-or-less by the Gmelin system, first by increasing number of conjoined iron atoms, then by increasing hapticity of principal organic ligand. The latter is determined by the principle of last position. Thus, $(\gamma^3-\text{allyl})(\gamma^5-\text{cyclopentadienyl})(\gamma^2-\text{ethene})$ iron would be treated with cyclopentadienyliron compounds rather than with allyl- or alkene-iron species. For conciseness, many reactions of dimers such as dicyclopentadienyldiirontetracarbonyl $[\text{Fp}_2, \text{Cp}_2\text{Fe}_2(\text{CO})_4]$, in which they undergo fission into their monoiron parts, are treated along-side their monomeric derivatives such as FpR, however, and FeM_n clusters are treated as a group with other metal clusters of the same nuclearity.

In structural drawings, lines represent electron-pair bonds unless otherwise stated. In cases where the electron pair is considered to originate from one atom, an arrowhead is used in the traditional way to show direction of electron donation. Otherwise, formal charges are shown explicitly. This reviewer finds adherence to these conventions to be possible in describing all but the largest clusters, and believes that their use provides clarity sometimes lost in casually-drawn structures. To minimize clutter in structural drawings (particularly in cluster structures), I am also introducing the use of the symbol Ft for the commonly-occurring tricarbonyliron group.

2. REVIEWS AND BOOKS

Along with many reviews and books, not detailed here, dealing with general organometallic chemistry, there were three reviews which specifically discussed aspects of organoiron chemistry. These described the use of allyltricarbonyliron lactone complexes in natural product synthesis¹; the reactivity of dienetricarbonyliron complexes and ylides derived from them and their uses in synthesis²; and, in an extensive two-part review, the cyclopentadienyliron arene cations^{3,4}. Part B12 of the current Gmelin organoiron series, dealing with CpFe(CO)₂X, CpFe(CO)₂D⁺ X⁻ and CpFe(CO)₂R compound types, was published⁵.

3. REACTIONS OF "NAKED" IRON ATOMS AND IONS

Codeposition of iron atoms with butadiene at -190° produced evidence of $Fe(C_{4}H_{6})$, $Fe(C_{4}H_{6})_{2}$, and $[Fe(C_{4}H_{6})]_{n}^{6}$. With benzene or toluene, FeAn and FeAn₂ were indicated by IR⁷. Mössbauer studies of the latter indicated two species, thought to be a 20electron $Fe(\eta^{6}-c_{6}H_{6})_{2}$ and an 18-electron $Fe(\eta^{6}-c_{6}H_{6})(\eta^{4}-c_{6}H_{6})^{8}$. Iron atoms induced [2 + 2]-cyclodimerization involving the endocyclic double bonds of the triene 1⁹.

Reactions of butanes with Fe⁺ formed by several different gas-phase methods have been found to give consistent results, suggesting that all of these methods produce ground state Fe⁺. Collision-induced decomposition (CID) mass spectrometry has been used to characterize products of reaction of Fe⁺ and FeCO⁺ with alkanes¹⁰. In reactions with <u>n</u>-propylamine, as with other organics, Fe⁺ is less selective than other transition metal ions. This has been interpreted in terms of Fe⁺ requiring a lower promotion energy to reach the valence state conducive to the insertion reactions which dominate this chemistry¹¹. A Fourier transform mass spectrometric study of ferracycloalkane ions (from reaction of Fe⁺ with cycloalkanones) revealed rearrangement to alkene complexes by hydrogen shifts¹².

Ion beam studies have led to a value of 59(5) kcal for the bond energy of Fe-H⁺ and a proton affinity of 190(5) kcal for the Fe atom. Oxidative addition of H₂ to Fe is exothermic by at least 22 kcal/mol. Unlike Fe⁺, FeH⁺ does not undergo facile oxidative additions with organics¹³. Likewise, FeCH₃⁺ is unreactive with alkanes¹⁴; it does, however, react with cyclopropane, to give FeEt⁺ and C₂H₄, and with cyclobutane¹⁵. Reaction of FeMe⁺ with cyclopentene yields CpFe⁺ from dehydrogenation and loss of methane; this leads to an estimate that the energy of the Cp-Fe⁺ bond must be at least 66 kcal/mol¹⁵. FeCO⁺ reacted with alkenes to form FeC_nH_{2n}⁺ species; with butene or larger alkenes, these react by insertion of Fe⁺ into an allylic C-C bond,followed by hydrogen migration to form an allyliron hydride species¹⁶.

Fe0⁺ has been found to be more reactive toward alkanes than Fe⁺ by virtue of the exothermic formation of water¹⁷. Sparking halomethanes at an iron surface yielded the iron carbenes FeCH₂⁺, FeCHCl⁺, FeCCl₂⁺, and FeCBr₂⁺ and the carbynes FeCX⁺ ¹⁸. CID Mass spectrometric studies of Fe₂⁺ and FeCo⁺ have indicated bond ener-

4. COMPOUNDS WITH 1-CARBON LIGANDS

a. Alkyl- and aryliron compounds. RnFe

Several papers on dimesityliron have appeared during 1984. Reaction of $\operatorname{Ar_2Mg(THF)_2}(\operatorname{Ar} = \operatorname{mesityl})$ with FeBr₂ was reported to give $\operatorname{ArFeBr(THF)_{0.5}}^{21}$. Analogous results were obtained with organozinc and -aluminum compounds²². Reaction of dimesityliron with acetonitrile reportedly gave $\operatorname{ArFe(N=CMeAr)(NCMe)^{23}}$. Reaction with ethyne also proceeded with insertion, forming polyenes $\operatorname{Ar(CH=CH)_nAr^{24}}$. Reaction with two equivalents of PhC=CPh in THF gave (THF)₂Fe(PhC=CPhAr)₂; with four equivalents in ether, the product was reported to be Fe(PhC=CPhCPh=CPhAr)₂²⁵.

A quantitative CIDNP study of the reaction of isobutyl iodide with ethylmagnesium bromide catalyzed by $Fe(acac)_3$ has indicated that coupling of alkyl radicals with reduced iron species to form R-Fe intermediates is not an important step at usual concentrations²⁶.

A number of studies of organic reactions catalyzed by "inorganic" iron species, which may involve intermediates with Fe-C bonds, have been reported. Fe(acac)₃ catalyzed addition of various ArMgBr to dienes to produce arylated dienes and alkenes (Eq. 1)²⁷ and, in admixture with Ph₃P and Et₃Al, addition of methyl acrylate to 2-cyclopropylbutadiene (Eq. 2)²⁸. A similar mixture catalyzed cycloaddition of norbornadiene to norbornadiene dimers to give trimers²⁹. FeCl₂ catalyzed the cyclization shown in Eq. 3³⁰. Formation of 1,5-hexadiene by (tetraphenylporphi-

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \text{Me Me } & \text{Me Me } & \text{Me Me } \\ \text{ArMgBr} + \text{CH}_2 = \text{C} = \text{CH}_2 & & \text{ArCH} = \text{C} = \text{CH}_2 + \text{ArCH}_2 \text{CH} - \text{C} = \text{CH}_2 \end{array}$$

 $\begin{array}{c} Me & Me & (1) \\ I & I \\ + \operatorname{ArCH}_2 C = C - \operatorname{CH}_2 \operatorname{Ar} + \operatorname{oligomers} \end{array}$

 $CH_2 = CHCO_2Me + CH_2 = CHCR = CH_2 \longrightarrow CH_3CH = CRCH_2CH = CHCO_2Me$ (2)

$$\begin{array}{cccc} Cl_2CH-C=0 & ClCH-C=0 \\ H_2C=CHCH_2N-R & ClCH_2CH & N-R \\ & & & \\ &$$

nato)iron(II)-catalyzed reduction of allyl bromide was accompanied by some allylation of the porphyrin ring³¹.

An X-ray structure of the low-spin pentacoordinate (Por)FePh, 2, (Por = tetraphenylporphyrin) showed the iron only slightly displaced from the plane of the four nitrogens, and a Fe-C bond length of 1.955(3) A^{32} . Two-electron oxidation of 2 occurred with migration of the phenyl ligand to nitrogen; reduction reversed the process³³. Binding of pyridine to 2 and its oxidized and reduced forms was studied electrochemically³⁴. Sulfur dioxide inserted into the Fe-C bond of 2 to form the sulfinate (Por)FeOS(0)Ph, which has been characterized by X-ray crystallography³⁵. Nitrous oxide coordinated to the vacant site of the iron atom of 2³⁶. Electrochemistry of the nitrosyl porphyrin has been reported³⁷.

 $Fe(acac)_3$ reacted with $AlMe_3$ and DMPE to form $(DMPE)_2Fe(acac)^+ AlMe_4^-$; a methyliron intermediate transferred the methyl group to $AlMe_3$ to generate the anion. Methylation of the cation gave $Me_2Fe(DMPE)_2^{-38}$. Magnesium reduction of $FeCl_2$ in the presence of $Me_2P(CH_2)_3PMe_2$ gave a C-H insertion product in equilibrium with a tetracoordinate 16-electron product³⁹:



Reaction of $D_{4}Fe(Me)Br$ with $LiCH_{2}PMe_{2}$ occurred with displacement of the bromide and a trimethylphosphine (D) to give a cyclic ferraphosphirane, 3. Reaction of $LiCH(PMe_{2})_{2}$ with the hydride $D_{4}FeHBr$ at -30° occurred with retention of the Fe-H bond in the initial ylide-like product, which rearranged above 10° 40. (Eq. 6)



The chemistry of some related bis(diphosphine)iron hydrides is also discussed in this section. Although the substances discussed lack C-Fe bonds and are therefore not organometallics in the strict sense, their chemistry bears on that just discussed and should be of interest to organometallic chemists.

Reaction of $(DMPE)_2FeCl_2$ with $NaBH_4$ or $(DMPE)_2FeH_2$ with BH_3 gave $(DMPE)_2Fe(H)BH_4$ with an open Fe--H--BH₃ bond ⁴¹. <u>Trans</u>- $(DPPE)_2Fe(H)N_2$ has been studied by ¹⁵N and ³¹P NMR⁴². Protonation of $(DPPE)_2FeH_2$ with the novel carbon acid Tf_2CH_2 produced molecular hydrogen and $(DPPE)_2FeH^+$ ⁴³. The crystal structure of the crowded dihydride, $(Ph_2MeP)_4FeH_2$ has been determined ⁴⁴.

b. Iron Monocarbonyls, e.g. D₄FeCO

<u>Trans-HFe(CO)[P(OEt)]</u>⁴ reacted with <u>p</u>-toluenediazonium fluoroborate to give (ArN=NH)Fe(CO)D₄⁺². The aryldiimine ligand was readily displaced by CO, ArNC, or ArCN⁴⁵. Rates and equilibria of thermal ligand exchange reactions in 4 (X, Y = MeCN, H₂O, CO) have been studied⁴⁶, as has flash photolysis of 4 (X = CO, Y = MeCN, H₂O)⁴⁷. A series of complexes DFe(CO)(EtOCS₂)₂ formed on carbonylation of iron(II) ethylxanthate in the presence of bases D = pyridine, pyrrolidine, triethylphosphine, etc., but most of them readily disproportionated⁴⁸.

A number of studies of iron(II) porphyrins have included addition reactions of carbon ligands such as CO, CS, and CNR. Thus, acyl isonitriles gave mono- and bis-adducts with iron(II) tetraphenylporphyrin; one isonitrile was readily displaced from the bis-adduct by bases such as pyridine, giving 5^{49} .



Electrochemical oxidation of tetraphenylporphinatoiron(II) thiocarbonyl, (Por)FeCS, and its nitrogen-base adducts occurred in two reversible steps, with oxidation first of iron then of the porphyrin ligand⁵⁰. A prochiral (Por)Fe has been obtained by chemical modification of the propionic acid side chains of natural porphyrin; diastereomeric adducts with PhCH(CH₃)NC and other bases were obtained⁵¹.

Porphyrin analogs with bulky groups have been synthesized in order to assess the effects of the groups on 0_2 and CO binding.

84

Recent examples have included 6^{52} and 7^{53} .





c. Iron Dicarbonyls. e.g. D3Fe(CO)2

Iron(II) diethyldithiocarbamate underwent carbonylation to give only <u>cis</u>- $(OC)_2$ Fe $(S_2CNEt_2)_2^{48}$. The 16-electron compound $(C_6H_4S_2)$ Fe $(PMe_3)_3$ lost a phosphine ligand on reaction with CO, to give $(C_6H_4S_2)$ Fe $(CO)_2(PMe_3)_2$ with excess CO or dimeric $\lfloor(C_6H_4S_2)$ Fe $(PMe_3)_2(CO)\rfloor_2$ (structure not proposed) with one equivalent⁵⁴.

The primary photochemical step in photolysis of $(bpy)_2Fe(CNMe)_2^{2+}$ was found to be dissociation of an isonitrile ligand⁵⁵. Photolysis of Fe(CO)₂(NO)₂ in liquid krypton containing 2\$ nitrogen yielded products with one and both carbonyl ligands replaced by N₂⁵⁶.

Further mechanistic studies of 13 CO insertion in $(OC)_2$ Fe-(PMe₃)₂(Me)X compounds, 8, have been reported⁵⁷. With X = CN the acetyl group of the product contained no label, but with X = I or SCN, ionization allowed exchange of all three carbonyl groups. 8 (X = I) reacted with cyclohexyl isocyanide to give 9^{58} .



 $(\eta^2 - CH_2 0)Fe(CO)_2D_2$ was formed by photolysis of $D_2Fe(CO)_3$ (D = triethyl- or triisopropylphosphite) followed by addition of formaldehyde; the formaldehyde ligand was displaced by ethylene, Ph₃F, CO, H₂, or MeI. With HI, $D_2Fe(CO)_2(CH_2I)(I)$ resulted⁵⁹. Mass spectral studies of (Ph₂AsCH₂CH₂AsPh₂)Fe(CO)₂(COMe)D⁺ BF_H⁻ salts by the fast atom bombardment technique have been reported⁶⁰.

d. Iron tricarbonyls. e.g. D₂Fe(CO)₃

The radical-anion $Fe(CO)_3^{-1}$ could be produced in a flowing afterglow apparatus by reaction of thermal electrons with $Fe(CO)_5$. In contrast to $Fe(CO)_4^{-1}$, it readily adds H_2 , CO, N_2 , and alkenes⁶¹. The series of hydride anions $(OC)_3FeH_n^-$ (n = 1,2,3) has been characterized as resulting from reaction of $Fe(CO)_4^-$ with hydrogen atoms and dihydrogen⁶².

Reaction of EtOC(=S)SC(=S)OEt with $Fe(CO)_5$ gave an ESR signal which was attributed to the species $10a^{63}$. However, the chelate ring is unlikely to bridge two equatorial positions of a trigonal bipyramid as shown, and moreover the odd-electron species requires a charge if the stoichiometry is as shown. A structure such as 10b may be suggested pending further characterization.



 $\underline{\text{mer}}_{(\text{ArNC})_3} Fe(\text{DPPE})I^+I^- \text{ resulted from reaction of } (\text{ArNC})_4 FeI_2 \\ \text{with DPPE. A monodentate perchlorate analog was formed on reaction with silver perchlorate; <math>CIO_4^-$ was readily displaced by phosphines and the like⁶⁴. Reaction of $(Ph_2P)_3CH$ (tripod) with iron carbonyls gave <u>inter alia</u> bidentate (tripod)Fe(CO)_3, whose free arm could coordinate to additional metals^{65,66}. Similar bisbidentate [Fe(CO)_3]_2 complexes were prepared using the tetraphosphorus ligand [R_2PCH_2P(R)(CH_2)_5-]_2 (R = isopropyl)⁶⁷.

Photolysis of (1,4-diazabutadiene)Fe(CO)₃ complexes in solution led to substitution of CO after breaking an Fe-N bond, but in matrices at 10 K a π -coordinated species formed^{68,69}. The photochemical reactions of HFe(CO)₃(SiEt₃)(PPh₃) (from photolysis of Ph₃PFe(CO)₄ in triethylsilane glass at 100 K) resulted both from loss of CO and from competitive reductive elimination of triethyl-silane⁷⁰.

Reaction of $Ph_3PFe(CO)_3^{2-}$ with $TfO(CH_2)_40Tf$ gave the ferracyclopentane, which was characterized by X-ray crystallography⁷¹. A pair of novel ferracycles, both characterized by X-ray crystallography, is shown in Eq. 8, where $R = n-C_3F_7^{-72}$.

 $RFe(CO)_{4}I + Et_{2}P(=S)H \longrightarrow RFe(CO)_{3}(S=PEt_{2}) \xrightarrow{-HI}_{H} \xrightarrow{RFe}_{O} \xrightarrow{C}_{H} \xrightarrow{RFe}_{S}$







e. Iron tetracarbonyls, e.g. $DFe(CO)_4$ and $R_2Fe(CO)_4$

The non-Berry pseudorotation of triplet C_{2v} Fe(CO)₄, observed upon laser irradiation in matrices, has been explained in terms of a topological model and the Jahn-Teller theorem⁷³. The chemistry of the radical-anion Fe(CO)₄⁻ in the gas phase has been explored, including its reactions with 0₂, which gave Fe(CO)_n0_m⁻ (n = 2,3, m = 1,2)⁷⁴; with carbon tetrachloride, which gave ClFe(CO)₄⁻⁷⁵; and with Fe(CO)₅, to form principally Fe₂(CO)₈⁻⁷⁶.

 $HFe(CO)_{4}^{-}$, 11, supported in an ion exchange resin can be used to reduce acid chlorides to aldehydes under mild conditions⁷⁷. 11 was the least reactive hydridometal ion in a comparative study, failing to react with <u>n</u>- or <u>tert</u>-butyl bromide⁷⁸. 11 did displace a methyl group from methyltrialkylammonium ions in NMP at 200^o 79. The fact that 11 forms contact ion pairs with alkali metal cations entirely at an oxygen has been related to its low reactivity as a hydride donor⁸⁰.

SCF X_{α} scattered-wave calculations on ground and excited state $H_2Fe(CO)_4$ have given results in agreement with photochemical results, including the photodissociation of H_2^{81} . Reduction of coordinated N_2 by $H_2Fe(CO)_4$ has been studied⁸². Addition of $Cl_3SiFe(H)(CO)_4$ to dienes has been found to occur by a radical chain mechanism⁸³.

Measurement of core-electron binding energies of $DFe(CO)_{4}$ (D = pyridine, Me₃P, and various alkenes) has led to a better understanding of σ and π bonding in these compounds⁸⁴. X-ray structures of several novel trivalent phosphorus-Fe(CO)₄ complexes have appeared. In 12-14, the phosphorus ligand occupies the expected



axial site of the iron trigonal bipyramid, but a number of examples of equatorial phosphorus ligands have also appeared this year. In the cation $(\text{Et}_2\text{N})_2\text{P}=\text{Fe}(\text{CO})_4^+$, with its Fe=P bond length of 2.10(5) Å, equatorial positioning of the phosphenium ligand is a predictable consequence of its powerful π -acceptor character⁸⁸. In 15, the unsaturated phosphorus is also equatorial, in contrast to the seemingly similar 14. The Fe-P distance in 15 was found to be 2.208(2) Å, and the P=C bond was twisted 30° from planarity⁸⁹. The equatorial coordination of the unsaturated phospaalkene in 15 and of the similar diphosphene ligand in 16⁹⁰ may be ascribed to

either steric or electronic (π -acceptance) factors, but the origin of substantial π -acceptor character in the diazadiphosphe-tidine ring of 17⁹¹ is less evident.



Reaction of the phospharsene 2,4,6-<u>t</u>-Bu₃C₆H₂As=PCH(SiMe₃)₂ with diiron enneacarbonyl gave two Fe(CO)₄ complexes, one with P coordinated to Fe and one with As coordinated⁹⁰. The Fe(CO)₄ complex of the distibene, (Me₃Si)₂CHSb=SbCH(SiMe₃)₂, in contrast to these cases and to **16**, was found to be a π -type complex⁹².

SCF calculations have indicated that the reactivities of nucleophiles toward carbonyl attack on $Fe(CO)_5$, forming $NuC(=0)Fe(CO)_4^-$, should Me⁻ > OH⁻ > H⁻, although the iron-carbon bond strengths in the products should decrease in the order formyl > acetyl > hydroxycarbonyl⁹³. (H)(MeCO)Fe(CO)₄ was calculated to be 12.6 kcal more stable than (Me)(HCO)Fe (CO)₄⁹⁴. These calculations were consistent with the relative ease of CO insertion into Fe-H and Fe-Me bonds.

Experimentally, hydrated OH⁻ has been found to react with $Fe(CO)_5$ in the gas phase at or near the collision rate to produce $(OC)_4FeCOOH^{-95}$. Electroreduction of $Fe(CO)_5$ in the presence of Bu_3SnH produced the formyliron anion⁹⁶. ESR and extended Huckel MO studies of acyl- and alkyliron tetracarbonyl radicals have been carried out⁹⁷. The acyliron radicals were found to have a trigonal bipyramidal geometry with the acyl ligand in an axial position. The alkyliron radicals were labile with respect to alkyl migration and exchange of equatorial CO ligands with phosphites.

 $Me_3SiFe(CO)_4$ salts underwent alkylation with primary alkylating agents and acylation, but the products were of limited stability. The acyl(trimethylsilyl)tetracarbonyls underwent an interesting rearrangement to carbene complexes⁹⁸. (see Eq. 9, next section). $MeOC(=0)CH_2Fe(CO)_4$ resulted from reaction of MeO⁻ with $Fe_2(\mu-CH_2)(CO)_8$, as verified by an X-ray structure of the PPN⁺ salt⁹⁹. A structure has also been published for the zwitterion $Ph_3P^+CH_2Fe(CO)_4^-$; the Fe-C-P bond angle is widened to 119° 100. Both of these alkyltetracarbonylferrate[-I] derivatives had axial alkyl groups in an iron trigonal bipyramid.

Reaction of α, α' -dibromo-<u>p</u>-xylene with tetracarbonylferrate[-II] was reported to give the dialkylferrate, $(BrCH_2C_6H_4CH_2)_2$ -Fe(CO)₄¹⁰¹. An intramolecular analog, a (tetracarbonyl) benzofer-

87

ra-3-cyclopentene, was similarly reported from the \underline{o} -xylene¹⁰². Similar reactions were reported for Fe(CO) $\underline{\mu}^{2-}$ generated from iron pentacarbonyl under phase transfer conditions; benzyl bromides could be converted to dibenzylketones using this reagent¹⁰³.

f. Carbene Complexes. e.g. R₂C=Fe(CO)₄

Rearrangement of the silyl group to the acyl oxygen in (trimethylsilyl)(acyl)tetracarbonyliron compounds generated carbene complexes, which underwent further rearrangements to alkene complexes (Eq. 9)⁹⁸. Two types of carbene complexes, both characterized by X-ray crystallography, result from reaction of $Fe(CO)_5$ with LiNR₂ (R = isopropyl) and then with triethyloxonium fluoroborate (Eq. 10)¹⁰⁴. **18** reacted with PPh₃ by displacement of a CO;



BCl₃ abstracted OEt⁻ from the resulting complex to give a carbyne complex, **19**, with a very short FeEC bond $(1.73 \text{ Å})^{105}$. A ferracyclic bis-carbene, **20**, resulted from reaction of hydrazine with the isonitrile ligands of $(bpy)_2 Fe(CNMe)_2^{106}$.



Two allenylidene complexes (Eq. 11) resulted from reaction of $Fe(CO)_5/Me_3NO$ with $C \equiv C-CR_2O^-$ (R = t-butyl), followed by treat-



Reaction of $(0C)_{4}$ Fe=C(R)OEt with various alkynes under CO pressure occurred by initial [2 + 2] cycloaddition to form a ferracyclobutene; a sequence of migration and insertion steps led to Fe(CO)₃ complexes of δ -ethoxy- α -pyrones as the final products. (See Eq. 19)¹⁰⁸.

The chemistry of carbone complexes derived from $(Me_3P)_2$ -Fe(CO)₂(η^2 -CS₂), 22, has been further explored. The carbone complex from methylation of the free sulfur of 22 underwent hydride reduction at carbon to give a complex of methyl dithioformate; this in turn reacted with dimethyl acetylenedicarboxylate (DMAD) by a complex rearrangement (Eq. 12)¹⁰⁹. The metal-dithio-



carbene intermediate resulting from reaction of 22 with DMAD was stabilized by reaction as a Lewis base with $HgCl_2$; the X-ray structure of the adduct revealed the $HgCl_2$ unit coordinated to the iron, trans to the dithiocarbene¹¹⁰. Reaction of 22 (PPh₃ instead of PMe₃) with Ph₂PC=CCMe₃ in methanol gave the carbene complex 23, several further reactions of which were also reported¹¹¹.



Reaction of tetraarylporphinatoiron(III) compounds, (Por)FeCl, with RCCl_3 and sodium dithionite resulted in formation of (Por)Fe=CClR; the chloride could be replaced by alkoxide or thioalkoxide groups¹¹². The carbido complexes (Por)Fe=C=Fe(Por) have been studied by resonance Raman spectroscopy¹¹³, and electrochemically, the latter indicating binding of axial pyridine li-

gands¹¹⁴.

g. Some Selected Reactions and Properties of Fe(CO)5

A pulsed laser pyrolysis technique has indicated a first Fe-CO bond dissociation energy of 41(2) kcal/mol for $Fe(CO)_5^{115}$. IR laser pyrolysis has been applied in preparation of substitution products incorporating ¹³CO, PF₃, NO, and butadiene from $Fe(CO)_5$ under mild conditions¹¹⁶.

 γ -irradiation of Fe(CO)₅ in a low-temperature krypton matrix produced KrFe(CO)₅^{+ 117}. Photolysis of Fe(CO)₅ in CCl₄ resulted in formation of FeCl₂, C₂Cl₄ and "tar"; a reaction sequence involving Fe(CO)₄, (OC)₄Fe(Cl)CCl₃, and the carbene complex (OC)₄Fe=CCl₂ has been proposed¹¹⁸.

Reaction of $Fe(CO)_5$ with 7-aryInorbornadienes gave dimeric cyclopentanones whose stereochemistry was determined by X-ray crystallography¹¹⁹. $Fe(CO)_5$ served as a precatalyst in the hydrogenation of PhCH=NPh at 150°¹²⁰. Both $Fe(CO)_5$ and $Fe(CO)_4(CS)$ formed Lewis acid-base adducts with $HgCl_2$ in pentane. In ethanol, $(OC)_4Fe(HgCl)_2$ and $(OC)_4Fe(HgCl)[C(=S)OEt]$, respectively, resulted. In water the thiocarbonyl gave $[HgFe(CO)_3(CS)]_x^{121}$.

MO calculations on $Fe(CO)_5^{-1}$ favor a square pyramidal structure in which a bent apical Fe-C-O ligand eclipses one of the basal ones¹²².

5. η^2 -ALKENE AND η^3 -ALLYL COMPLEXES

Calculations using the PRDDO method have shown the strength of binding of C_2X_4 to $Fe(CO)_4$ to decrease with X in the order Cl>CN>>F,H; the localized iron-alkene bonding was consistent with a ferracyclopropane representation¹²³. Core-electron binding energies of several alkene-Fe(CO)₄ complexes have been measured, and the results were generally consistent with the theoretical picture⁸⁴.

Ethylene complexes could be obtained in good yield by reaction of DFe(CO)_3^{2-} (D = CO, PPh_3) with $\text{TfOCH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{OTf}$; the X=ray structure of $(C_2\text{H}_4)\text{Fe(CO)}_3\text{PPh}_3$ was reported ⁷¹. Reaction of Na₂Fe(CO)₄ with β -bromostyrene was said to result in formation of (PhCH=CHBr)Fe(CO)₄¹²⁴, but the nature of the reduction product(s) which must accompany this product was not determined.

 $(C_2H_4)Fe(CO)_4$ was found to be an effective precatalyst for hydrogenation of ethylene in the gas phase under UV irradiation. A turnover rate of 900 sec⁻¹ was determined; the effective catalyst, thought to be $(C_2H_4)Fe(CO)_3$, owes its efficacy to a slow rate of recombination with CO^{125} . Tricarbonylbis(cyclooctene)iron has been found to be a versatile source of $Fe(CO)_3$ units in solution; thermal reaction with 1-pentene led to rapid equilibration with 2-pentenes, contradicting previous assignment of the active catalyst in these isomerizations as an (alkene)_2Fe_2(CO)_6 species. With 2-butyne, coupling products resulted, and with dienes and styrenes, stable η^4 -Fe(CO)_3 complexes¹²⁶.

A crystal structure of $[(\eta - C_3H_5)Fe(CO)_3]_2$, from reaction of allyl chloride with $Fe_2(CO)_9$, has been reported ¹²⁷. $(\eta - C_3H_5) - Fe(CO)_3Br$ in compressed disks showed electrical semiconduction when doped with I_2^{128} .

Reaction of $(\eta - C_3H_5)Fe(CO)_2NO$ (24) with trivalent phosphorus compounds gave different results depending on the nature of the nucleophile. Ph₃P and trialkyl phosphites reacted by displacement of the double bond, giving γ_1^{1} -allyliron products, but trialkylphosphines attacked the allyl group, resulting in formation of zwitterionic adducts 25^{129} . 24 reacted with (S)-Ph₂PNHCHMePh by CO displacement, giving separable diastereomers, whose absolute configurations were determined by X-ray crystallography¹³⁰. Reactions of 24 and several alkyl derivatives with allylic, propargylic, and acyl halides resulted in formation of C-C bonds; further synthetic use of these complexes was suggested by reactions such as those shown in Eq. 13^{131} .



Alkenyloxiranes were converted to lactone complexes upon reaction with $Fe_2(CO)_9^{132}$. This reaction has found application in a formal synthesis of (+)thienamycin, the key steps of which are shown in Eq. 14^{133} .



92

A novel bis $(\eta^3$ -pentadienyl)bis(trimethylphosphine)iron (X-ray structure reported) was obtained by reaction of potassium pentadienide with $(Me_3P)_2FeCl_2^{134}$.

6. COMPOUNDS WITH η^4 -LIGANDS

a. Complexes of Heterodienes

Reaction of naturally-occurring optically active enones with $Fe(CO)_5$ under irradiation or with $Fe_2(CO)_9$ gave (enone)Fe(CO)_3 complexes, which transferred the $Fe(CO)_3$ group to prochiral dienes with significant asymmetric induction¹³⁵.

Electrochemical oxidation of the complexes (PhCH=CHCOMe)-Fe(CO)₂D (D = CO, PPh₃, PEt₃, and P(OEt)₃) in DMF has been studied¹³⁶. These compounds have been found to be fluxional in solution, with D alternatively occupying axial or basal positions in the square pyramidal structures¹³⁷. A kinetic study of their reactions with cyclohexadiene indicated dissociation of the ketone group as the rate-controlling step, with the tricarbonyl complex the most reactive¹³⁸.

The equilibration between (iminoalkene)Fe(CO)₄ complexes and their cyclic lactam tautomers (Eq. 15) has been studied¹³⁹, as has their conversion to $(\eta^4$ -azadiene)tricarbonyliron complexes¹⁴⁰.



b. Complexes of Acyclic Dienes

Addition reactions of carbanions to (diene)tricarbonyliron complexes at -78° occurred primarily at an internal position (kinetic control); the resulting (homoallyl)Fe(CO)₃⁻ complexes rearranged at 0° to more stable allyl complexes¹⁴¹.

A number of studies have dealt with physical measurements on butadieneiron complexes. ¹³C and ¹⁷O T₁ studies and ¹³C(¹H) NOE measurements of the tricarbonyl have been reported ¹⁴². Phase transitions of $(C_{4}H_{6})Fe(CO)_{3}$ physisorbed on BN have been studied by optical spectroscopy¹⁴³. Single crystals of $(C_{4}H_{6})_{2}FePMe_{3}$ have served as host for EPR study of the manganese compound ¹⁴⁴, ¹⁴⁵. Polymers with pendant (diene)tricarbonyliron groups were found to display semiconduction after doping with iodine or NO⁺ SbF₆^{- 128}.

Tricarbonylbis(cyclooctene)iron has been suggested for use in synthesis of tricarbonyliron complexes of dienes and styrenes¹²⁶.

Silylated dienes, $Me_3SiCH=CH-CH=CR_2$, gave tricarbonyliron complexes in 40-80% yields, using $Fe(CO)_5$ or $Fe_2(CO)_9^{146}$. (2,4-Hexadienal)tricarbonyliron reacted with $Ph_3P=CHY$ (Y = CO_2R , CN, COPh) to form <u>ois</u>- and <u>trans</u>- triene complexes. Upon heating to 120° , the <u>cis</u> isomers isomerize to <u>trans</u>, and the iron group shifts along the chain (Eq. 16). TCNE reacts with the products in methanol solution by dipolar [2 + 2] cycloaddition to the free double bond¹⁴⁷.



Asymmetric induction in formation of pyrazolines (Eq. 17) has been studied; in the process, crystal structures of two diastereomeric pyrazolines bearing (phenylbutadiene)tricarbonyliron



and ferrocenyl substituents have been determined 148 . Functional group manipulations on resolved (HCOCH=CH-CH=CHCO₂Me)Fe(CO)₃ have been used to prepare free dienes (e.g. MeCH(OMe)CH=CH-CH=CHCH(OMe)Me in high stereochemical purity 149 , 150 .

c. Complexes of Exocyclic Dienes

Conversion of 1,2-bis(bromomethyl)benzene to (<u>o</u>-xylylene)tricarbonyliron has been reported on by two groups. One used iron pentacarbonyl and calcium hydroxide in a phase-transfer system¹⁰³, and the other used conventionally-prepared disodium tetracarbonylferrate; in the latter case, a ferracyclopentene intermediate was reported¹⁰².

Synthesis of the "tropoquinodimethane" complex 26 has been reported 151 . The effect of the <u>exo</u>- and <u>endo</u>-iron tricarbonyl groups in coordinated 5,6-dimethylidenebicyclo[2.2.2]octenes and 5,6-dimethylidenebicyclo[2.2.1]heptenes on the stereochemical outcomes of several chemical reactions has been studied. Perhaps the most interesting result is that the <u>endo</u>-Fe(CO)₃ group in 27 (Y = CH₂, C₂H_b) prevents base-catalyzed exchange of the <u>endo</u> C(3)-H; this phenomenon has been used to revise some previous stereochemical assignments 152 . Temperature-dependent CD spectra of some

derivatives of 27 (Y = CH₂) have been reported ¹⁵³. Formation of 27 (Y = 0) has been reported⁹.



d. Complexes of Cyclic Dienes

MO calculations have compared the relative propensity of the isolobal fragments $Fe(CO)_3$ and CoCp to bind to cyclobutadiene and cyclopentadienone. The iron fragment was found to bind more strongly to the cyclopentadienone, consistent with the greater role of back-donation in the iron complexes¹⁵⁴. Reaction of $(C_4Ph_4)Fe(CO)(PPh_3)NO^+$ with PPN⁺X⁻ gave a series of products, $(C_4Ph_4)FeX(NO)(PPh_3)$. With chelating anions, both CO and PPh₃ were displaced, giving $(C_4Ph_4)FeX(NO)$; an X-ray structure for the product with X = Et_2NCS_2 was reported¹⁵⁵. Reaction of cia-Cl₂Pt(Ph₂PC=CCMe₃)₂ with Fe₂(CO)₉ gave the cyclobutadiene complex (Eq. 18), but other groups in place of the <u>t</u>-butyl group gave free and complexed cyclopentadienones instead¹⁵⁶.



A series of complexes 28 have been prepared by complexation of the free ligands, and their reduction reactions have been reported 157 . The phosphole complex 29 underwent a number of reactions (oxidation, sulfurization, quaternization, and coordination with W(CO)₅) at the phosphorus atom without disruption of the diene-iron bonding. Ring expansion to 30 was accomplished by treatment with benzoyl chloride, triethylamine, then water 158 .



Irradiation of Fe(CO)₅ dissolved in neat N-alkoxycarbonyl-1,2-dihydropyridine gave good yields of Fe(CO)₃ complexes¹⁵⁹. Complexes of α -pyrones resulted from reaction of carbene complexes (OC)₄Fe=C(R)OEt with alkynes at 70° and 4 atm. CO; an example appears as Eq. 19¹⁰⁸.



Treatment of the homoallyl anion 31, from attack of $LiCMe_2CN$ on (cyclohexadiene)tricarbonyliron at -78° , with CO resulted in formation of an acylferrate, which could be methylated to form a bicyclic carbene complex (Eq. 20)¹⁶⁰.



Several 2-(trimethylsilyl)cyclohexa-1,3-dienes underwent complexation with Fe(CO)₅ in refluxing dibutyl ether without rearrangement¹⁶¹. X-ray structures of the cyclohexadiene complexes 32^{162} and 33^{163} have been reported.





Acetylation of (cyclohexadiene) $Fe(CO)_2PPh_3$ under mild Friedel-Crafts conditions gave the 5-<u>endo</u>-acetyl product in 96% yield¹⁶⁴. Treatment of various (cyclohexadiene) $Fe(CO)_3$ complexes with AlCl₃ and CO gave ring-expanded products, as shown in Eq. 21¹⁶⁵. In the carbonium ion rearrangement of Eq. 22, clean retention of D implicated an iron-mediated hydride migration¹⁶⁶.



Resolved 34 (H instead of D) served as starting material in a highly stereocontrolled synthesis of (-)-gabaculine, in which the key step was specific abstraction of hydride from the e_{XO} -5-position of 34, followed by attack of a nucleophile at the same position ¹⁶⁷. A further use of a cyclohexadiene complex in synthesis was the conversion of 35 to an oxaperhydrophenanthrene ¹⁶⁸:



(Cycloheptatriene)Fe(CO)₃ underwent normal cyclopropanation of the free double bond with ethyl diazoacetate/copper, but with PhCOC(=N₂)Ph the furan 36 (X-ray) was obtained¹⁶⁹. Cycloaddition of (C₇H₈)Fe(CO)₃ with methylphenylketene gave 37, whose endo phenyl group was consistent with a concerted [2s + 2a] cycloaddition mechanism. The X-ray structure of 37 showed a long [1.590(3) Å] bond, which was ruptured in acid or on thermal rearrangement to form a σ,π -allylic isomer¹⁷⁰. The Fe(CO)₃ complex of 7-styrylcycloheptatriene underwent reaction with the electrophiles H⁺ and TCNE at the uncoordinated C(6), analogous to the reactions of the parent (C₇H₈)Fe(CO)₃¹⁷¹.



Reaction of dimethyl cyclooctatetraene-1,8-dicarboxylate with iron carbonyls gave, in addition to the known $(3-6)\eta^4$ -Fe(CO)₃ complex, a $(1-4)\eta^4$; $(5-8)\eta^4$ -bis-Fe(CO)₃ complex¹⁷². 2,3-Bis(carbomethoxy)-9,10-dichlorobicyclo[6.2.0]deca-2,5-diene was said to form a Fe(CO)₃ complex of the two isolated double bonds without rearrangement¹⁷³.

Electrophilic attack of tropylium ion on $COTFe(CO)_3$ proceeded with contraction of both rings to yield (7-styrylcycloheptatriene)Fe(CO)₃, as in Eq. 24¹⁷¹.



<u>Trans. trans. trans</u> and <u>trans. trans. ois</u>-cyclododecatrienetricarbonyliron have been reported formed from photochemical reaction of the all-<u>trans</u> triene with $Fe(CO)_5^{174}$.

7. η^5 -DIENYL COMPLEXES

a. Compounds with Open Pentadienyl Ligands

Interconversion of $Fe(CO)_3$ -complexed cyclohexadienes and cyclohexadienyl cations by hydride removal from the former and nucleophilic attack on the latter continues to be of synthetic interest, and some examples have already been described in section 6d. INDO calculations and photoelectron spectra on the cations have indicated a stabilizing interaction between electron-withdrawing groups on the 1- and 3-positions of the cyclohexadienyl cation and the LUMO, and results on silyl-substituted cations were interpreted in terms of this interaction⁶¹. Results of extended Hückel calculations and crystal structures of two unsymmetrically substituted cations have led to emphasis on unequal metal bonding to the two ends of the dienyl system to explain regioselectivity in nucleophilic attack¹⁷⁵.

A kinetic comparison has revealed that (cyclohexadienyl)-Fe(CO)₃ cations are 16-74 times more reactive to nucleophilic attack by amines and phosphines than the cycloheptadienyl analogs. Steric retardation of 1-<u>exo</u> attack in the latter was proposed (see **38**); this phenomenon also explains the sometime occurrence of 2-



exo attack on the cycloheptadienyl cations, but not the cyclohexadienyl¹⁷⁶. Attack of some simple anions on these cations gives 5exo adducts by kinetic control, followed in some cases by rearrangements to the <u>endo</u> isomer (with NO_2^{-}), linkage isomerization (with SCN⁻) or metal attack (with I^{-})¹⁷⁷. Reactions of nucleophiles with the (cycloheptadienyl)Fe(CO)₂PY₃ cations (Y = Ph and OPh) proceeded in many cases similarly: attack at C(1) occurred with thiophenoxides, organocuprates, and enolates. However, harder nucleophiles (cyanide, alkyllithium and Grignard reagents) preferentially attacked C(2)¹⁷⁸.

38

Barriers to rotation of the Fe(CO)₃ group in substituted cyclohexadienyl complexes have been measured and interpreted primarily in terms of steric effects¹⁷⁹. Reorientation of C_6H_7 -

 $Fe(CO)_3$ cations in crystals of the fluoroborate salt has been studied by ${}^{57}Fe$ Mossbauer and ${}^{13}C-NMR$ spectroscopy 180 . Circular dichroism measurements have proven useful in assigning absolute configurations to resolved dienyl cations and diene complexes 181 .

Attack of a β -ketoester enclate on the 2-methoxycyclohexadienyl cation was the key step in a formal alkaloid synthesis¹⁸². Reaction of the organoiron nucleophiles, FpCH₂CH=CH₂ and several derivatives, with (cycloheptatrienyl)Fe(CO)₃⁺ derivatives provided a one-step synthesis of the azulene skeleton, as shown in Eq. 25¹⁸³.



The isonitrile-substituted complexes (1,3-cyclohexadiene)and $(1,3-cycloheptadiene)Fe(CO)_2(CNR)$, like their tricarbonyl analogs, formed dienyl cations by hydride abstraction using trityl fluoroborate. The cations were fluxional, with the isonitrile ligand favoring a basal position at low temperature. Protonation of $(COT)Fe(CO)_2(CNR)$ reversibly yielded the bicyclo[5.1.0]octadienyl cation¹⁸⁴. The 8,8'-bis(bicyclo[5.1.0]octadienyl) dication, **39**, simply added one mole of Bu₃P to each unit, but with Ph₃P opening of the cyclopropane rings occurred (Eq. 26)¹⁸⁵.



 57 Fe Mossbauer studies of homoleptic bis(pentadienyl)iron compounds have suggested greater electron density at iron than in ferrocene 186 .

b. Cyclopentadienyldicarbonyliron Hydride and Related Compounds

Free CpFe(CO)₂ (Fp[•]) has been detected in cyclohexane solution using fast time-resolved IR spectroscopy, after photolysis of Fp₂. Its lifetime was less than $25\mu \sec^{187}$.

Baird has reviewed the literature describing FpH and concluded that it is <u>not</u> "unduly thermally labile." It underwent rapid CO displacement by phosphines, possibly through a radical chain mechanism involving 17-electron Fp^{*}. Additions to double bonds were also described ¹⁸⁸. Fenske-Hall MO calculations on

various metal hydrides supported the generalization that FpH could serve as a source of either H⁻ or H⁺ under appropriate circumstances¹⁸⁹.

Reaction of $(\eta - C_6 H_6)Fe(PMe_3)_2$ with CpH or Cp[#]H at room temperature gave CpFe(PMe_3)_2H or Cp[#]Fe(PMe_3)_2H directly in good yield. These reacted with CH₂Cl₂ at room temperature to give the chlorides, Fp([#])Cl¹⁹⁰.

FpH and analogs have been most commonly prepared by reaction of a cation such as $FpCO^+$ with a hydride reagent, a reaction extensively studied during 1984. Reaction of FpCO⁺ with NaH, NaBH₁, or LiEt₂BH at -80° gave FpCHO, which decarbonylated to FpH upon raising the temperature 191. Likewise, LiAlH₁ reduction of $CpFe(DPPE)CO^+$ at -78⁰ proceeded via the formyl to the hydride CpFeH(CO)(DPPE), having a monodentate DPPE. In refluxing THF, the products included the Fe-Me product, and $(\eta^4 - C_5 H_6) Fe(CO)(DPPE)^{192}$. Reaction of Cp^{*}Fe(DPPE)CO⁺ with LiAlH₁ at -80° , in contrast, was found to proceed by single electron transfer, then H-atom abstraction, to give the analogous Cp^{*}FeH(CO)(DPPE), but no formyl intermediate appeared to intervene 193. In LiAlH₁ reductions of various CpFeD₂CO⁺ cations, the outcome depended on the nature of the donor ligands, D. PPh3 gave similar results as DPPE (described above), but the Fe-Me product predominated with DPPM and DMPE. FpPPh3⁺ gave ring reduction and the hydride, CpFe-(CO)(PPh₃)H; $FpPMe_3^+$ gave the Fe-Me product via the formyl¹⁹⁴. Oxidation of Fp_2^- with ferricenium ion in various solvents

Oxidation of $\operatorname{Fp}_2^{\circ}$ with ferricenium ion in various solvents gave the cations $\operatorname{Fp}^{\circ}(\operatorname{solvent})^{+}$, from which the solvent was readily displaced by CO, phosphines, and the like. Reaction of the THF-solvated cation with NaBH_L gave the hydride¹⁹⁵.

A study of the hydroformylation of alkenes in the presence of Fp_2 has revealed FpH to be present during reaction, and it was proposed that the product-forming step was reaction of FpH with FpC(=0)R to give aldehyde and Fp_2^{-196} . The analogous hydride was indicated as an intermediate in thermolysis of CpFe(CO)-PPh₃C(=0)OH¹⁹⁷. Fp[#]H reduced Fp[#]CO⁺ to Fp[#]CH₂OH and thence to Fp[#]Me. Under CO pressure, the Fp[#](THF)⁺ oxidation product recycled to Fp[#]CO⁺ ¹⁹⁸.

The long-known reduction of Fp₂ has received careful study. The initially formed Fp_2^{\pm} radical anion cleaved to Fp^{*} and Fp⁻ at a rate of 1060 sec⁻¹ at 0°; the E_a was 15.7 kcal/mol¹⁹⁹. The anion Fp_s⁻⁻ reacted with (C₅Me₅)Ru(CO)₂CH₂Cl by a redox reaction which formed Fp^{*}₂²⁰⁰.

Several papers on direct conversion of Fp_2 dimers into $FpCO^+$ and related cations have appeared. In the parent system, bromine with excess aluminum chloride²⁰¹ and ferric sulfate under a CO stream²⁰² have been recommended for synthesis of the tricarbonyl cation. Ferricenium ion in THF has been used to oxidize Fp_2 or Fp_2^{\bullet} to the solvated cations $\text{Fp}(^{\bullet})(\text{THF})^+$, from which the solvent molecule was readily displaced by normal donor ligands 195, 203, 204.

Photolysis of FpCO⁺ in MeCN in the presence of donor ligands gave CpFe(NCMe)₂D⁺ or, in the cases of Me₃P and DPPE, the bis-(phosphine)(NCMe)⁺ cations. CpFe(PR₃)₃⁺ cations could be obtained using excess phosphine in the cases of Me₃P, 1-phenyl-3,4-dimethylphosphole, or MeC(CH₂PPh₂)₃²⁰⁵. Thermolysis or photolysis of FpCO⁺ in the presence of isonitriles led likewise to replacement of two or three CO's by CNR²⁰⁶. Reaction of CpFe(DPPE)CN with Me₃O⁺ gave CpFe(DPPE)CNMe^{+ 207}. The X-ray structure of (-)-CpFe-(CO)(Norphos)⁺ PF₆⁻ has been determined [Norphos = 2R,3R-bis(diphenylphosphino)norbornane]²⁰⁸.

A number of reports on conversion of η -cyclopentadienyl rings to η^4 -cyclopentadiene complexes by attachment of nucleophiles to a ring carbon have appeared. Thus, in contrast to the results obtained with the more reactive hydride reagents described above, FpCO⁺ reacted with NaBH₃CN by exo attack to form $(C_5H_6)Fe(CO)_3^{191}$. LiP(SiMe₃)₂ reacted similarly, but the resulting 5-<u>exo</u>-phosphino- η^4 -cyclopentadiene complex rearranged at room temperature to FpF(SiMe₃)₂²⁰⁹. Formation of $(C_5H_6)Fe(DPPE)CO$ by LiAlH₄ reduction of the corresponding cation was previously mentioned¹⁹²; FpPPh₃⁺ reacted in part in the same way¹⁹⁴.

 $(Ph_5C_5)Fe(CO)_2$ has been prepared directly from LiC_5Ph_5 and $Fe(CO)_5$; methylation and bromination occurred normally²¹⁰. FpHgX and Fp₂Hg have been studied by ⁵⁷Fe Mossbauer and ¹⁹⁹Hg NMR methods²¹¹, and Fp₂Zn by photoelectron spectroscopy²¹².

The structures of FpSiCl₃ and FpSiFPh₂ have been determined; the Fe-Si bond lengths were 2.216(1) Å and 2.278(1) Å, respectively²¹³. Reaction of FpSiBr₃ with dimethylamine gave FpSi(NMe₂)₃. Replacement of the CO's by Me₃P then reaction with MeI to form CpFe(PMe₃)₂I may have proceeded through a silylene complex (Eq. 27)²¹⁴:

$$\begin{array}{cccc} PMe_{3} & MeI & PMe_{3} & NMe_{2} & -NMe_{3} \\ CpFe-Si(NMe_{2})_{3} & \longrightarrow & CpFe-Si-NMe_{3}^{+} & \longrightarrow & CpFe-Si \\ PMe_{3} & PMe_{3} & NMe_{2} & PMe_{3} & NMe_{2} \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{cccc} PMe_{3} & PMe_{3} & PMe_{3} \\ PMe_{3} & PMe_{3} & PMe_{3} \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} PMe_{3} & PMe_{3} & PMe_{3} \\ PMe_{3} & PMe_{3} & PMe_{3} \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} PMe_{3} & PMe_{3} & PMe_{3} \\ PMe_{3} & PMe_{3} & PMe_{3} \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{cccccc} PMe_{3} & PMe_{3} & PMe_{3} \\ PMe_{3} & PMe_{3} & PMe_{3} \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} PMe_{3} & PMe_{3} & PMe_{3} \\ PMe_{3} & PMe_{3} & PMe_{3} \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{cccccccccc} PMe_{3} & PMe_{3} & PMe_{3} \\ PMe_{3} & PMe_{3} & PMe_{3} \end{array}$$

Photolysis of FpSiH_3 in the presence of isonitrile or phosphine donors resulted in stepwise CO substitution. $\text{CpFe(CNR)(CO)SiH}_3$ reacted with $\text{Co}_2(\text{CO})_8$, by replacement of a bridging CO with a bridging CpFe(CNR)(CO)SiH group²¹⁵. Fp-substituted cyclosiloxanes resulted from reaction of $[-\text{Si(Me)(H)O-}]_n$ with $\text{Fp}_2^{216,217}$.

Electrochemical reduction of $Fp_n[Co(CO)_4]_{3-n}$ SnCl resulted in cleavage of the Sn-Co bonds²¹⁸. Reduction of $FpER_3$ (E = Si, Ge,

Sn) gave radical anions, which cleaved to Fp^- and E_2R_6 . This allowed the formation of Fp-substituted distannanes such as $(Fp_2SnPh)_2^{219}$. FpFbPh₃ decomposes thermally or photochemically with phenyl migration, forming FpPh²²⁰.

 Fp^{\ddagger} reacted with R_2NPCl_2 (R = isopropyl) to form $FpP(Cl)NR_2$; reaction of the latter with AlCl₃ or Ph_3C^{\ddagger} proceeded via a phosphinidene complex to a phosphazetidine complex, as in Eq. 28^{221} :



 R_2PS^- reacted with FpBr to form $FpP(=S)R_2^{222}$. Tris(2,2,2-trifluoroethyl)phosphite displaced the CO's from FpI analogously to other phosphites, giving $CpFe[P(OR)_3]_2I^{223}$. The complex phosphoranide **40** rearranged at temperatures above 0° with allyl group migration to iron (eq. 29)²²⁴.



Reaction of $Fp(THF)^+$ with ER_2 (E = S, Se, Te, R = various alkyl) gave $FpER_2^+$. Photochemical reaction with additional ER_2 formed $CpFe(CO)(ER_2)_2^+$. Nucleophiles such as I⁻ displaced an ER_2 from either type of species²²⁵. Dimerization of the paramagnetic $CpFe(CO)[P(OR)_3]SPh^+$ and related species by formation of S-S bonds has been studied by visible spectroscopy²²⁶. Reaction of NaSC(=S)NMe₂ with $Fp^+(THF)^+$ gave $Fp^+SC(=S)NMe_2^{-195}$, ²⁰³; the analogous Fp compound was most conveniently obtained using FpCO⁺. Several reactions of $FpSC(=S)NMe_2$ have been studied, including cyclization to 41 with KCN and electrophilic attack at the uncoordinated S²²⁷. Sulfur heterocycles 42 resulted when FpSMe was treated with electrophilic acetylenes (R = CF₂, CO₂Me)^{227a}.



c. Fp-Acyl, -Alkyl, and -Carbene complexes

Reaction of $Fp_{2}Mg$ at -90° with CO_{2} gave $(FpCO_{2})Mg$, which was

alkylated with MeOTf to form the ester FpC(=0)OMe. Further methylation and hydride reduction gave $FpCH_2OMe^{228}$. Similarly, $FpCS_2^-$ reacted with electrophiles E-X (Me_3Si-Cl, Me_3Sn-Cl, Fp-X, Me-X) to form FpC(=S)SE, in which the thione sulfur shows significant nucleophilicity²²⁹. Reaction of FpC(=S)SFp, 43, with $(THF)M(CO)_5$ (M = Cr, Mo, W) gave $FpC[=S-PM(CO)_5]SFp$; the crystal structure of the W compound was reported²³⁰. Reaction of 43 with $FpCS^+$ gave the novel heterocycle 44 (X-ray structure), from which Br^- displaced the thione-bound Fp group²³¹.

Reaction of carboranecarbonyl chlorides $B_{10}H_{10}HCC-C(=0)Cl$ with Fp gave the expected acyl-Fp compounds, which underwent normal decarbonylation to the carboranyl-Fp compounds. These reacted with bromine with migration of the carboranyl group to the cyclopentadienyl ring²³². Reaction of FpCO⁺ with diamines led to dicarbamoyl complexes such as FpC(=0)NHCH₂CH₂NH(C=0)Fp (X-ray structure)²³³.

Attack of the ylide $Me_3P=CH_2$ on $CpFe(CNR)_2C0^+$ led to formation of the iron-acyl ylide, $CpFe(CNR)_2C(=0)CH=PMe_3^{-206}$. Several studies of analogous iron-acyl enolates have been reported. Stereoselection in condensations of the diethylaluminum enolate $CpFe(CO)(PPh_3)C(=CH_2)OAIEt_2$ with aldehydes²³⁴ and with imines²³⁵ has been found to be very high. Oxidative decomplexation of the β -hydroxyacyls gave β -hydroxycarboxylic acids²³⁴, but the β -aminoacyls gave β -lactams²³⁵, ²³⁶. Reactions of the lithium enolate with electrophiles (imines²³⁶, RX, RC(=0)Cl²³⁷) have also received attention. Most reactions were unexceptional, but reaction with ethyl chloroformate led to formation of FpPPh₃⁺, ethyl acetate, and a small amount of 45^{237} .





The deprotonation of the coordinated diacyl (Eq. 30) has been extended to additional metals 238 .



45

Contrary to expectation, one-electron oxidation of 46 did not generate a β -lactam, but deprotonation with NaH, followed by oxidation of the rearranged heterocycle did (Eq. 31)²³⁹. Oxidizing agents (Ag⁺, ferricenium⁺) catalyzed conversion of vinyliron

compounds to acyliron compounds under CO, allowing use of low



temperatures²⁴⁰. Also at low temperatures, the oxidatively-induced metal-to-carbonyl migration of the alkyl group was able to compete with β -elimination when FpCH₂CH₂R was treated with Ph₃C⁺ salts in the presence of CO. The migration occurred via a free radical chain process²⁴¹. An extensive study of "migratory insertion" reactions of optically active CpFe(CO)(PR₃)Et has explored the effects of solvents and of acid oatalysts²⁴². Photoinduced decarbonylation of FpC(=0)Me in PVC films was found to be thermally reversible; CpFe(CO)Me was identified as an intermediate in photolysis of FpMe²⁴³. Reaction of the ferrocenyllithium derivative with FpI gave both the aryl-Fp product (X-ray structure) and the acyl (Eq. 32); whereas CpFe(CO)(PPh₃)I



gave only the ary1²⁴⁴.

Octafluorocyclooctatetraene reacted with Fp⁻ to give first FpC_8F_7 , then $1,5-Fp_2C_8F_6^{245}$. ⁵⁷Fe Mossbauer and ¹³C NMR measurements on a number of aryl-Fp derivatives have been carried out²⁴⁶. PhFp and PhCH₂Fp underwent metallation with BuLi on the cyclopentadienyl ring. The pK_a of the ring protons was estimated as 29- 30^{247} . Crystal structures of [CpFe(CO)D-C₅H₄]Mn(CO)₃, D = CO, PPh₃) were reported²⁴⁸.

Electrophilic cycloaddition of $FpCH_2CH=CHOMe$ with $MeO_2-CH=C(CN)CO_2Me$ was the initial step in syntheses of some naturally occurring cyclopentanoids²⁴⁹. Both <u>cis</u>- and <u>trans</u>- NCCH=CHCN cycloadded to $Fp(\eta^{1}-C_{5}H_{5})$ at comparable rates and without <u>cis</u>-<u>trans</u> interconversion between starting material and product, implying a concerted Diels-Alder reaction²⁵⁰. Analogous cycloadditions of activated alkenes have been exploited synthetically²⁵¹. Acid-induced addition of methanol to $FpCH_2C\equiv C-C\equiv C-Me$ occurred as in Eq. 33²⁵²:

$$\begin{array}{c} H^{+} \\ \hline H^{+} \\ \hline F_{P} \\ \hline \end{array} \begin{array}{c} MeO^{-} \\ \hline CpFe \\ \hline \end{array} \begin{array}{c} O \\ CpFe \\ \hline \end{array} \end{array} \begin{array}{c} O \\ CpFe \\ \hline \end{array} \begin{array}{c} O \\ CpFe \\ \hline \end{array} \begin{array}{c} O \\ CpFe \\ \hline \end{array} \end{array}$$
 (33)

Results of extended Hückel calculations on FpMe served as models for calculations on carbon-uranium bonding²⁵³. EH calculations and NMR data have been applied to conformational analysis of $CpFe(PPh_3)(CO)R$, based on a pseudo-octahedral model. That the phenyl groups of the phosphine play a dominant role in determining most stable conformations was suggested²⁵⁴.

A modified flash chromatography procedure for purifying airsensitive organometallics has been devised and applied to $FpCH(Me)SPh^{255}$. A study of thermal and photochemical decomposition of $Fp(CH_2)_n Fp$ (n = 3-5) indicated alkenes to be the chief products, presumably formed via transient diferracycles²⁵⁶.

Reaction of CpFe(PMe₃)₂Cl or its Cp[#] analog with Grignard reagents gave the expected alkyl derivatives. A bridged example, $(Me_3P)_2Fe(CH_2CH_2-\eta_1^{5}-C_5H_4)$, was obtained directly from reaction of $(\eta_1-C_6H_6)Fe(PMe_3)_2$ with spiro[2.4]hepta=4,6-diene. Cp[#]Fe(PMe₃)₂Et in solution existed in equilibrium with Cp[#]Fe(PMe₃)(C₂H₄)H and PMe₃¹⁹⁰.

FpMe reacted with $Cp_2^{2}ZrH_2$ and PMe₃ to form $CpFe(PMe_3)(CO)H$ and $Cp_2^{2}Zr(H)(OCH=CH_2)$. The iron hydride reacted further to form $CpFe(PMe_3)_2CH_2OZr(H)Cp_2^{257}$.

 FpC_6F_5 showed no halogen exchange when treated with BCl_3^{258} , but $FpCX_3$ (X = F, Cl, Br) were quite reactive; carbene complexes $Fp=CX_2^+$ were characterized spectroscopically. $FpCCl_3$ reacted readily with NH₃, RNH₂, and H₂O to give, respectively, FpCN, $FpCNR^+$, and $FpCO^{+259}$.

The ethylidene complex $\text{Fp}=\text{CHMe}^+$ transferred the ethylidene group to alkenes, giving methylcyclopropane derivatives. Bond rotation occurred in ethylidene addition to <u>p-MeOC₆H₄CH=CHD</u>, which indicated an unusually well-stabilized carbonium ion intermediate²⁶⁰. An attempt to generate (1-norbornyl)CH=Fp⁺ resulted in β -alkyl migration, yielding a bicyclo[3.2.1]octene complex (Eq. 34)²⁶¹.



Complexes of unsaturated carbones have received considerable attention during 1984. Conformational barriers and conformational equilibria have been studied in various CpFe(diphosphine)=C=CHR⁺ species with R = Me, t-Bu, Ph²⁶². Nucleophiles (PhS⁻ and Cu(CN)R₂⁻) attacked the α -carbon of Fp'=C=CMe₂⁺ [Fp' = CpFe(CO)-(PPh₃)], yielding vinyl complexes Fp'-C(Nu)=CMe₂. The 2-buta-dienyl-Fp' product (Nu = CH=CH₂) rearranged readily to the π^3 -butatrienyl complex 46²⁶³. The 3-methylbut-2-en-1-ylidene complex

Fp=CHCH=CMe₂⁺ has been characterized by low-temperature NMR, and found to transfer the alkenylidene unit to isobutene, cyclooctene, and styrene²⁶⁴. Similar alkenylidene complexes Fp(')=CHCH=CRCH₂R⁺ resulted from δ -protonation of 1-dienyl complexes Fp(')CH=CHCR=CHR, based on NMR and reactions with nucleophiles²⁶⁵. The delocalized carbene complex **47** added CN⁻ to an α -carbon as expected²⁶⁶.



An extensive study of reactions of the heterocycles oxirane, thiirane, and aziridine with FpCO⁺ and FpCS⁺, to yield cyclic heteroatom-stabilized carbene complexes has been published. Prolonged reaction of oxirane with FpCO⁺ actually generated a bis-(dioxycarbene), 48^{267} . A cyclic oxycarbene complex was quaternized by consecutive deprotonation and alkylation steps²⁶⁸. CpFe(PMe₃)₂-C(OMe)=CH₂ showed the substantial basicity of its β carbon by removing protons from HW(CO)₃Cp and MeC(=0)Cl, forming the 1-methoxyethylidene complex. It also added CS₂ to form CpFe⁺(PMe₃)₂=C(OMe)CH₂CS₂^{- 269}.

High stereoselectivity in addition of hydride to $Fp'=C(OMe)Et^+$ and of the ethyl group to $Fp'=CHOMe^+$ has been found²⁷⁰. β -Deprotonation of methoxycarbene complexes $Fp'=C(OMe)CH_2R^+$ gave the (Z)methoxyvinyl products (X-ray structure reported), which could be alkylated stereoselectively²⁷¹.

d. Cyclopentadienyliron Derivatives of η^2 to η^5 Ligands

The alkene complex $(CH_2=C(OEt)CO_2Et)Fp^+$ has been prepared from ethyl bromopyruvate, and used as a synthetic equivalent of $CH_2=C(+)CO_2Et$, to convert enolates to α -methylenelactones (Eq. 35)²⁷². Likewise, alkylation of Fp⁺ complexes of ROCH=CHOR with



cuprates, Grignard reagents, and enclates resulted in formation of RCH=CHR²⁷³. Additions of similar nucleophiles to (alkyne)Fp⁺ complexes have resulted in formation of a variety of alkenyl-Fp⁺ products²⁷⁴. The presence of detergent micelles affected the relative reactivity and products of reaction of (alkene)Fp⁺ with

water²⁷⁵.

Some $(\eta^3-\text{allyl})\text{FeCp}(CO)$ derivatives have already been described (Eqs. 30 and 33, and compound 46). Some CpFe $(\eta^5-\text{carbollyl})$ compounds have been described. Protonation of the ethynyl compound, CpFe $(\eta^{5-B}_{g}H_{g}CHC-C \equiv CH)$ on the β -carbon gave a stabilized vinyl cation²⁷⁶. Bis(dicarbollyl)iron(III) salts could be obtained by electrolysis of (3)-1,2- and (3)-1,7-dicarbadodecahydroundecaborate salts at an iron anode²⁷⁷.

2-Phenylphosphaferrocenes resulted from reaction of 1-phenylphospholes with Fp_2 at 150° under CO pressure²⁷⁸. A similar reaction of a phosphorin oxide gave a η^5 -phosphorin complex (Eq. 36). The crystal structure showed an Fe-P distance of 2.784(3) A. Acylation occurred on the Cp ring rather than on the heterocycle²⁷⁹.



8. COMPOUNDS WITH η^6 -ARENE LIGANDS

The use of $(\eta - \text{benzene})\text{Fe}(\text{PMe}_3)_2$ as a source of $\text{Fe}(\text{PMe}_3)_2$ groups in reactions with cyclopentadienes has already been described¹⁹⁰. In an analogous way, $(\eta - p - xy \text{lene})\text{FeCp}^+$ has been used as a source of CpFe⁺ groups in reactions with 6-aminopentafulvenes to give ferrocene derivatives²⁸⁰. An extensive study of photoremoval of the arene ligand from AnFeCp⁺, including the effects of arene substituents, solvent, and temperature, has appeared²⁸¹. CpFeAn⁺ catalyzed photoisomerization of hexamethyl Dewar benzene to hexamethylbenzene, via an exciplex intermediate²⁸².

A number of CpFeAn⁺ complexes containing Cl, F, and OMe substitu ents on the arene ring have been prepared by the standard method, reaction of the arenes with ferrocene and AlCl₃. The chloro substituent was readily displaced by amines²⁸³. Ethyl group rotation in (C₆Et₆)FeCp⁺ was found to be slow enough at 140 K to allow detection of several geometrical isomers²⁸⁴. The X-ray structure of the zwitterion $Ph_3B-(\eta-C_6H_5)FeCp^+$ has been determined²⁸⁵.

CpFe⁺ complexes of xanthene and thioxanthene have been prepared, and oxidized with KMnO₄ to xanthone, thioxanthone, and thioxanthone dioxide complexes. Xanthone and fluorenone complexes have been found to add nucleophiles to the carbonyl group from the exo face; in the case of xanthone, nucleophilic attack on the coordinated ring with displacement of a phenoxide leaving group also occurred²⁸⁶. (η -C₆H₅R)FeCp⁺ (R = CHMe₂, NH₂, and NHMe), as

well as CpFe⁺ complexes of carbazole and fluorene, were readily deprotonated; the resulting species served as nucleophiles in Michael addition reactions (Eq. 37)²⁸⁷. Reaction of (PhCl)FeCp⁺



with NaOH or NaSH gave phenoxide or thiophenoxide complexes, which underwent homologation with CH_2N_2 to give (tropone)- or (thiotropone)-FeCp^{+ 288}.

Electrochemical reduction of several $(\eta^6-cyclophane)FeCp^+$ has been reported. The reduced species decomposed with dissociation of the cyclophane and formation of ferrocene and $Fe(0)^{289}$. The reduced 19-e species $(\eta^6-fluorene)FeCp$ has been generated as a metastable species at -50° . Reaction with 0_2 generated (fluorenyl)FeCp via electron transfer then proton abstraction²⁹⁰. The 19-e species $(\eta-C_6Me_6)Fe(C_5H_4CO_2H)$ has also been generated; it lost the acidic proton fairly readily²⁹¹.

Iron atom chemistry has been used to prepare several examples of $(\eta$ -arene)ferraborane and -ferracarborane clusters through condensation with B_5H_9 or $B_{10}H_{14}$ in the presence or arenes and 2-butyne. The arene ligands derived variously from added arene or from simultaneous cyclotrimerization of 2-butyne. The compounds reported, several characterized by X-ray crystallography, included several different arenes and such fragments as $B_8H_{10}O$, B_9H_9 , B_9H_{13} , $B_{10}H_{10}$, (CMe)₂ B_4H_4 , (CMe)₄ B_3H_3 , and (CMe)₄ B_5H_5 as η^5 -ligands²⁹²,293.

Two studies have focussed upon the 20-electron bis-(arene)iron(0) species. Mössbauer and magnetic studies of $(C_6 Me_6)_2 Fe$, along with semiempirical MO calculations, have confirmed its triplet nature²⁹⁴. It reacted with organic halides RX by electron transfer, then coupling of R^{*} with $An_2 Fe^+$. Reaction with O₂ eventuated in formation of an <u>o</u>-xylylene complex, 49^{295} .



Hydride added to An_2Fe^{2+} to generate derivatives of $(\eta - C_6H_6)Fe(\eta^5 - C_6H_7)^+$, which reacted with nucleophiles on the η^5 -coordinated ring to give (arene)Fe(cyclohexadiene) derivatives. However, the permethylated series gave bis(cyclohexadienyl)iron complexes instead²⁹⁶.

9. BIMETALLIC COMPOUNDS

a. Diiron compounds. Derivatives of Fe2(CO)9

In the gas phase, $Fe(CO)_{4}^{-}$ reacts very rapidly with $Fe(CO)_{5}$ to form $Fe_{2}(CO)_{8}^{-}$. $Fe_{2}(CO)_{5-7}^{-}$, thought to have Fe=Fe double bonds, have also been identified⁷⁶.

Although $Fe_2(CO)_9$ in the crystal has three bridging carbonyl groups, replacement of CO's by other two-electron ligands commonly produces products with only one bridging group. SCF-MO calculations have been applied to the interpretation of the structure of $(\mu-DPPM)Fe_2(CO)_7$, having one symmetrically bridging CO and a short Fe-Fe bond²⁹⁷. A number of diphosphorus ligands R_2PYPR_2 (for example, R = Ph, Me, OMe, OEt; Y = CH₂, NMe) have given similar products, $(\mu-R_2PYPR_2)Fe_2(CO)_7$ and also $(\mu-R_2PYPR_2)_2Fe_2(CO)_5$, upon photoreaction with $Fe_2(CO)_9^{298,299}$. Labelling studies using ¹³CO have indicated that reaction of

Labelling studies using ¹⁵CO have indicated that reaction of $(RN=CH-CH=NR)Fe(CO)_3$ with $Fe_2(CO)_q$ goes through a $(RN=CH-CH=NR)Fe_2(CO)_7$ intermediate (Eq. 38)^{30D}.



Reactions of $Fe_2(\mu-CH_2)(CO)_8$ with nucleophiles appeared to involve initial attack at a CO ligand, but the products in most cases eluded complete characterization⁹⁹. Among the products of attack of LiOCR₂C \equiv CLi on Me₃NFe(CO)₄ was $(OC)_4Fe(\mu-C=C=CR_2)$ -Fe(CO)₄ (R = t-Bu); the same product also resulted in high yield from reaction of R₂C=C=C=Fe(CO)₄ with Fe₂(CO)₉³⁰¹. A bridging CH₂ ligand was introduced, with rearrangement, by reaction of CH₂I₂ with Fe₂(NO)₄(PPh₂)₂ (Eq. 39)³⁰²:



Reaction of $\text{Fe}_2(\text{CO})_8^{2-}$ with $(-N=\text{PCl}_2)_{3,4}$ resulted in formation of diferraphosphirane rings, with one phosphorus of the cyclophosphazene bridging a $(\text{OC})_4\text{Fe}-\text{Fe}(\text{CO})_4$ unit³⁰³. Reactions of the arsenido-bridged $(\text{OC})_4\text{Fe}(\mu-\text{AsMe}_2)\text{Fe}(\text{CO})_2(\text{NO})$ with Group V donor ligands occurred with attack at the nitrosyl-bearing Fe and

eventuated in heterolysis of the Fe-Fe bond³⁰⁴. The phosphidobridged product **50** (X-ray) was obtained by reaction of allyl iodide with deprotonated (OC)₃Fe(μ -CO)(μ -PPh₂)Fe(CO)₂(PPh₂H)⁻³⁰⁵.

The greatest number of derivatives of $Fe_2(CO)_9$ is the group in which the three bridging carbonyls have been replaced by one or two ligands which contribute a total of six electrons, represented by structural types 51 and 52. In these structures the symbol Ft



represents the Fe(CO)₃ group. Hartree-Fock-Slater calculations on 51 (X = Y = S) have shown the LUMO to be S-S antibonding, consistent with the opening of that bond upon two-electron reduction³⁰⁶. EHMO calculations on these species have also indicated, however, that addition of H₂ or acetylene to the Fe-Fe bond may be a concerted thermal process³⁰⁷. Reaction of (μ -S)₂Fe₂(CO)₆ with LiEt₃BH produced the diamion Fe₄S₄(CO)₁₂²⁻, 53³⁰⁸ (X-ray structure). A related S-linked structure, 54, resulted, alongside the major products, <u>syn-and anti</u>-Fe₂(SMe)₂(CO)₆, from reaction of Fe₃(CO)₁₂ with Me₂S₂³⁰⁹.

1,1-dimethylsilacyclopent-3-ene reacted with sulfur and $Fe_3(CO)_{12}$ to give inter alia 55, the addition product of the



alkene across the S-S bond of 51 (X = Y = S)³¹⁰. A similar ethanedithic late derivative, 52 (X, Y = -SCHCH(SiMe₃)S-) reacted with LiAlH₄ to form principally a product assigned the linked structure 56³¹¹. The thermal decomposition of the same starting material at 165° has also been studied³¹². A presumably related compound of composition (μ -C₃H₄S₃)Fe₂(CO)₆, structure unknown, was obtained from reaction of (ethylenetrithiocarbonate)Cr(CO)₅ with Fe(CO)₅ under irradiation³¹³.



The compound $(\mu-HNS)Fe_2(CO)_6$, with the unknown substance sulfur imide (H-N=S) stabilized by coordination to iron, has been prepared³¹⁴. Compounds with thio- and dithioesters donating six electrons to the Fe₂(CO)₆ unit, **57**, (R = Me, H; X = SMe, SEt, OMe, etc.) formed upon direct reaction of the esters with Fe₂(CO)₉; however, thioformate esters gave the dimeric 58 instead³¹⁵. The adamantyldithiocarbonate ROC(=S)SMe gave a product analogous to 57 (R = OC₁₀H₁₅, X = SMe); this rearranged thermally to the carbene complex 59³¹⁶. Eq. 40 shows another example of bifurcation of a



ligand with formation of a carbene complex 317.



X-ray structures of two tetraphosphane-bridged compounds (52, X, Y = -P(R)P(R)P(R)P(R)-, R = Me and Ph) have been reported ³¹⁸. Reaction of 52 (X = Y = PhPH) with α, α' -dibromo-o-xylene in the presence of base gave 52 (X, Y = -P(Ph)CH₂(o-C₆H₄)CH₂P(Ph)-). Reaction of the latter product with BuLi formed a transient carbanion which attacked the iron; methylation on phosphorus then



60

gave 60 (Eq. 41)³¹⁹. Deprotonation of 52 (X = Y = PhPH) with MeLi gave a dianion, which reacted with $BrCH_2CH_2Br$ in a redox manner, forming a dimeric product having two $(OC)_3Fe(\mu-PMe)_2Fe(CO)_3$ units joined by P-P bonds³²⁰.

An X-ray structure of **61**, obtained from benzalazine and $Fe_3(CO)_{12}$, has appeared³²¹. Reaction of the same iron carbonyl with 2nitropropane in toluene formed $(OC)_3Fe(\mu-O=CCH_2Ph)(\mu-N=CMe_2)-Fe(CO)_3(Fe-Fe)$, characterized by X-ray crystallography³²². Reaction of $Fe_3(CO)_{12}$ with MeC=CNEt₂ produced a ferrole complex, **62**,

through head-to-head coupling of the aminoalkyne moieties³²³. The parent ferrole complex, $C_4H_4Fe_2(CO)_6$, resulted in low yield from reaction of (thiophene)Mn(CO)₃⁺ with HFe(CO)₄⁻, along with





62

 $(OC)_{3}Fe(\mu-CH=CH-CH=CH-S-)Fe(CO)_{3}(Fe-Fe)^{324}$. Thermal decomposition of ferrole complexes was studied as part of a search for metathesis-like reactions³²⁵.

The conformation of $(\mu$ -butatriene)Fe₂(CO)₆ in solution has been investigated by study of the proton NMR spectrum in nematic phases³²⁶. Substituted butatriene complexes were synthesized by reaction of HOCPhRCC=CCPhROH with Fe₃(CO)₁₂, and the cis-trans isomer ratios obtained depended on the group R³²⁷.

The (μ -diphenylethenyl) complex 63 has been the subject of two interesting chemical studies. Reaction of 63 with triethyloxonium fluoroborate (Eq. 42) proceeded through alkylation of the bridging CO, forming a μ -carbyne ligand, to a ferrabutadiene complex³²⁸; the Fe-phenyl distances represented by the dotted line are about 2.43 Å, as compared to 2.18 and 2.30 Å in 61. Reaction of 63 with electrophilic alkynes (RC \equiv CR, R = CO₂Me, CF₃) produced two types of products, both characterized by X-ray crystallography, shown in Eq. 43. Mechanisms for these conversions have been proposed³²⁹. The latter product is formally a hydride addition product of a ferrole complex.



The principal product of reaction of iron carbonyls with dimethyl cyclooctatetraene-1,8-dicarboxylate was a non-fluxional skew $Fe_2(CO)_6$ complex with the ester groups located on the σ -bonded and an uncoordinated carbon¹⁷².

b. Derivatives of CD_Fe_(CO)

Photolysis of <u>trans</u>-CpFeCO(µ-CO)₂FeCOCp derivatives in low temperature matrices resulted in CO loss and formation of a triply-bridged CpFe(μ -CO)₃FeCp, presumably having a Fe=Fe double bond³³⁰. Photolysis of Fp₂ in solution in the presence of DPPE generated a strong reducing agent which reduced, for example, $Mn_2(CO)_{10}$. A 19-electron iron species, $CpFe(CO)_{10} = 2(DPPE)$ was proposed as the reducing agent³³¹. Reaction of bis(dimethylphosphino)methane with Fp₂ produced the product CpFe(μ -CO)₂(μ -Me₂P-CH_PMe_)FeCp²⁹⁸.

A number of mixed Fp₂ derivatives having one or two CS and one or two isonitrile ligands have been prepared and studied to determine the relative bridging tendencies of the ligands and mechanism of interconversion³³². The radical-cation CpFeCO(μ -SEt)₂FeCpCO⁺ has been studied crystallographically; the long Fe-Fe distance [2.957(4) A] was consistent with a one-electron bond³³³.

 K^+Fp^- (or $K^+Fp^{\bullet-}$) reacted with chloromethyl pivalate in refluxing THF to provide CpFeCO(µ-CH₂)(µ-CO)FeCpCO or its decamethyl derivative 33^4 . The analogous μ -cyclopropylidene compound by reaction of the μ -vinylidene compound with diazomethane/cuprous chloride³³⁵. Reaction of CpFe(μ -NO)₂FeCp(Fe=Fe) with diazoacetaldehyde produced CpFeNO[μ -CH(CHO)]FeCpNO(Fe-Fe)³³⁶.

Reactions of the bridging methylidyne complex, $CpFeCO(\mu-CH^+)$ - $(\mu-CO)$ FeCpCO(Fe-Fe) with alkenes have been studied. 2-Butenes reacted by concerted hydrocarbation to give μ -C⁺CHMeCHDMe products, which rearranged to *µ*-vinylic compounds (Eq. 44). 1-Methylcyclohexene reacted via a carbonium ion rearrangement mechanism337,338



c. Heterobimetallic Compounds

Reaction of $HFe(CO)_{ll}^{-}$ with $(THF)M(CO)_{5}$ (M = Cr, Mo, W) produced $(OC)_{\parallel}Fe(\mu-H)M(CO)_{5}(Fe-M)$, and the tungsten compound was characterized crystallographically³³⁹. Products of structure 64, $(R's = CMe_2, SiMe_2)$ which may be considered $Fe(CO)_2$ derivatives of tungstenacyclobutadienes, have been prepared and characterized 340. In the reaction of $(HBPz_3)W(CO)_2 \equiv CR)$ (Pz = 1-pyrazolyl, R = Me, <u>p-tolyl</u>) with $Fe_2(CO)_{o_1}$, the W $\equiv C$ triple bond was capable of acting as a four-electron donor to iron, forming 65 (X-ray)³⁴¹.

Whereas reaction of $HMn(CO)_5$ with $FpPPh_2$ gave $Cp(CO)Fe(\mu-H)$ -

 $(\mu - PPh_2)Mn(CO)_4(Fe-Mn)$, the analogous methyl-manganese compound reacted to form Cp(CO)Fe(μ -MeC=O)(μ -PPh₂)Mn(CO)₄, having a bridging acetyl group³⁴². (1,4-diazabutadiene)Mn(CO)₃⁺ reacted with HFe(CO)₄⁻ to produce 1-azaallyl complexes **66**³⁴³.



The crystal structure, <u>ois-trans</u> isomerization, and chemical reactions of the mono-ruthenium derivative of Fp₂ have been studied 3^{44} . A tetraphenylruthenole-Fe(CO)₃ complex was among the products obtained from reaction of PhC = CPh with Fe₃(CO)₁₂ and Ru₃(CO)₁₂ 3^{45} .

Photolysis of $FpCo(CO)_{4}$ at 10 K in argon matrix gave evidence of proceeding through two competing pathways, one involving CO loss, and one producing $FpCO^+$ and $Co(CO)_{4}^-$, in the presence of excess CO^{346} . Cobalt tetracarbonyl anion reacted with dithiocarbene complex, $CpFe(CO)(NCMe)[=C(SMe)_2]^+$, to form 67, (X-ray), which was the subject of MO calculations³⁴⁷. Hydrogenation of 68 has been studied³⁴⁸. Reaction of $Fe(CO)_4(PR_2Li)$ with $(Me_3P)_2CoCl_2$ gave $(OC)_3(Me_3P)Fe(\mu-PR_2)Co(CO)_2(PMe_3)$ (R = <u>t</u>-Bu), in which exchange of CO and phosphine units between iron and cobalt had occurred³⁴⁹.

Reaction of $[HC(PPh_2)_3]Fe(CO)_3$ with $Rh_2(CO)_4Cl_2$ resulted in formation of a product with a triphosphine-supported Fe->Rh dative bond, $(OC)_{A}[\mu-CH(PPh_2)_3]Fe(CO)_3^{66}$. Phosphines displaced CO's only from Rh in reaction with $(OC)_2Rh(\mu-C_7H_7)Fe(CO)_3$; using DPPE, the product showed a reversal of bonding mode from η^4 -Rh and η^3 -Fe in the starting material to η^3 -Rh and η^4 -Fe in the product, 69^{350} .



10. TRINUCLEAR CLUSTER COMPOUNDS

a. Tri-iron Clusters

 $Fe_3(CO)_{12}$ catalyzed the reaction of polyfluoroalkyl halides, R_FX , with allylsilanes to produce $R_FCH_2CH=CHR^{351}$. In the substitu-

tion product $Fe_3(CO)_{11}(CNCF_3)$, the isocyanide ligand, unstable in the free state, occupies a bridging position³⁵². Condensation of $HFe(CO)_4$ to $HFe_3(CO)_{11}$ has been studied. The tri-iron anion was not formed under water gas shift conditions³⁵³. Ion pairing of the $Fe_3(CO)_{11}$ anion has been studied³⁵⁴. Alumina impregnated with $K^+Fe_3(CO)_{11}$ brings about some conversion of CO to hydrocarbons at 400° 355.

The fluxional allenylidene complex 70 was obtained as a minor product of reaction of iron carbonyls with $\text{LiC} \equiv \text{CCR}_2\text{OLi}$ (R = \pm -Bu)³⁰¹. One-electron reduction of the anion 71, Fe₃(CO)₉(μ_3 -CO)-(μ_3 -CMe)⁻ led to coupling of the ethylidyne fragment with a CO, giving a propynolate anion ligand in 72³⁵⁶. The equilibrium constant for reaction of protonated 71 with H₂ at 60° to form (μ -H)₃Fe₃(CO)₉(μ_3 -CMe) was 0.66, and the enthalpy +4 kcal/mol³⁵⁷.



70

72

Triiron clusters containing borohydride ligands have been prepared and characterized. These included 73 and 74 and their deprotonated monoanions. Analogies to the isoelectronic hydrocarbyl clusters were drawn³⁵⁸, 359.



The X-ray structure of $(PhCN)Fe_3(CO)_9$ showed the benzonitrile ligand donating its non-bonding electron pair to one Fe and a π electron pair to each of the other two Fe's in a Ft₃ triangle³⁶⁰. The X-ray structure of $(\mu_3-NPh)_2Fe_3(CO)_9$, 75 (X = Y = NPh) has also been reported³⁶¹. Cyclotri- and cyclotetraphosphazenes have been found coordinated as four-electron ligands to Fe₃(CO)₁₀ units³⁰³. Reaction of Fe₄(CO)₁₃²⁻ with diphenylphosphine in



strong acid produced **76** (X-ray), which lost two molecules of benzene at room temperature to form **75** (X = Y = PPh)³⁶². Excess $Fe_2(CO)_9$ reacted with $CH_2(PRH)_2$ (R = <u>1</u>-Pr) to give **77** (X-ray)³⁶³.

Phenylacetylene inserted into an Fe-P bond of $(\mu_3$ -PAr)Fe₃-(CO)₁₀ to produce **78**, which rearranged upon photochemical decarbonylation to **79** (Eq. 45)³⁶⁴. The first bismuth-containing cluster,



 $(\mu_3-Bi)Fe_3(CO)_9(\mu_3-CO)^-$, resulted from reaction of sodium bismuthate with Fe(CO)₅, through clusterification upon oxidation of the intermediate Bi[Fe(CO)₄]₄³⁻³⁶⁵. The X-ray structure of 75 (X = S, Y = PAr) has been reported³⁶⁶. An analogous compound (X = S, Y = 2-carbena-1,3-dithiolane), in which a dithiocarbene functions as a four-electron ligand, was obtained as the principal product from photoreaction of Fe(CO)₅ with (ethylenetrithiocarbonate)- $Cr(CO)_5^{313}$.

b. Fe₂M Clusters

Silylacetylenes displaced two CO's from a $\text{Fe}_2 W$ cluster upon heating, as shown in Eq. 46 (Ar = <u>p</u>-tolyl, R = Me₃Si)³⁴⁰.



Irradiation of a mixture of $CpMn(CO)_3$ and <u>t</u>-BuSH, then treatment with $Fe_3(CO)_{12}$ gave **80** (X-ray)³⁶⁷. The tellurium analog (Cp[#] instead of Cp) was obtained from reaction of $Te[=Mn(CO)_2Cp^{#}]_2$ with $Fe_2(CO)_0^{368}$.

Reaction of $M_3(CO)_{12}$ mixtures (M = Fe, Ru) with diphenylacetylene resulted in formation of Fe₂Ru products, especially Fe₂Ru-(CO)₉(PhCCPh) and the ruthenole derivative Fe₂Ru(CO)₈(C₄Ph₄), but no FeRu₂ products. CNDO calculations were performed to rationalize this result³⁴⁵. Fe₂Os(CO)₁₂ was the major product when Fe₂(CO)₉ was allowed to react with H₂Os(CO)₄³⁶⁹.

One Fe vertex of the ketenylidene complex $Fe_3(CO)_9(CCO)^{2-}$ was replaced upon reaction with $Co_2(CO)_8$, giving 81 (X-ray), which showed reduced nucleophilicity consonant with its reduced

charge³⁷⁰. A coordinated P=C triple bond resulted when (DPPE)-Pt(PCR) (R = <u>t</u>-Bu) was allowed to react with iron carbonyls, giving 82^{371} . A linear arrangement of metals in the trimetallic cluster Fp₂Au⁻ was indicated by ¹⁹⁷Au Mossbauer spectroscopy³⁷².



c. FeM₂ Clusters

The activity of mixed Fe-Ru $M_3(CO)_{12}$ species as hydrogenation catalysts increased with the Ru content³⁷³. An extensive study of substitution reactions of PPh₃ and P(OMe)₃ for CO's in the FeRu₂ and Fe₂Ru dodecacarbonyls has been made. Substitution occurred preferentially at Ru. Disubstitution in the FeRu₂ species led to formation of CO bridges³⁷⁴. FeOs₂(CO)₁₂ was the principal product when H₂Os₂(CO)₈ and Fe₂(CO)₉ reacted³⁶⁹.

The Freiburg group has continued its extensive studies of tetrahedral clusters $\text{EM}^{1}\text{M}^{2}\text{M}^{3}$, in which E is commonly a chalcogen, alkyl- or aryl-pnicogen, or alkylidyne, and the M's represent a variety of metal moieties from Groups 6-9 of the (new style) periodic table. A sample of the synthetic chemistry is presented in Eq. 47³⁷⁵. Electrochemical measurements on a series of phos-



phinidene-bridged $FeCo_2$, FeCoMo, and FeCoNi clusters were performed; fragmentation often followed reduction³⁷⁶. The effects of different metals, and of varying isonitrile substituents in the SFeCo₂ clusters, were extensively studied³⁷⁷. The bridging hydride ligand in **83** was replaced by the Ph₃PAu- group upon treatment with KH then Ph₃PAuCl³⁷⁸.

11. TETRA- AND POLYNUCLEAR CLUSTER COMPOUNDS

An X-ray structure of the octahedral cluster anion $RhFe_5C(CO)_{16}$ has appeared 379.

Coexistence of another isomer of $HFe_4(CO)_{13}$, in addition to the previously crystallographically characterized butterfly iso-

mer, has been indicated by low-temperature NMR studies³⁸⁰. The intriguing chemistry of this species, the related $HFe_4(CO)_{12}CH$, and its mono- and di-anions have stimulated theoretical study by three different groups, using Fenske-Hall^{381,382} and extended Hückel³⁸³ methods. Protonation of $Fe_4(CO)_{12}CMe^-$ gave **84**, which appeared to add another proton on standing in TfOH; the solution slowly evolved small amounts of methane and ethane³⁸⁴.



Reaction of the adamantanoid $(CpFe)_4S_6$ with $MoOCl_3(THF)_2$ formed a desulfurated cation $(CpFe)_4(\mu_3-S)_3(\mu_3-S_2)^+$, whose X-ray structure has been reported³⁸⁵.

Two new tetrahedral Fe_3^M clusters have been reported. Reaction of $Fe_3(CO)_{11}^{-2}$ with $Ni(CO)_4$ followed by protonation, or reaction with $NiCl_2$ in ethanol led to formation of $Fe_3Ni(CO)_8^{-}(CO)_4(\mu_3-H)^{-386}$. The sterically crowded series $Fe_3^M(CO)_{14}^{2-}$ (M = Cr, Mo, W) was prepared by straightforward reaction of the triiron dianion with $M(CO)_3(NCMe)_3$. The chromium cluster did not show signs of exceptional crowding in its structure or reactions³⁸⁷. The complete family of clusters $Fe_3(CO)_{10}(\mu-COMe)(\mu-MPPh_3)$ (M = Cu, Ag, Au), **86**, has also been prepared by reaction of the corresponding Fe₃ anion with Ph_3PMX^{388} .

A number of new iron-coinage metal clusters have been reported. Planar Cu-Fe clusters, $Cu_3Fe_3(CO)_{12}^{2-}$ and $Cu_5Fe_4(CO)_{16}^{3-}$, resulted when $Fe(CO)_{4}^{2-}$ reacted in appropriate ratio with copper halides. The latter shows a square planar array of Cu's about a central Cu, with the edges of the square bridged by $Fe(CO)_{4}$ groups³⁸⁹. Reaction of the iron tetracarbonyl dianion with CH(PPh_2AgCl)_3 produced CH[PPh_2Ag_Fe(CO)_4]_3, whose X-ray structure showed a distorted octahedron of silver atoms with three faces capped by $Fe(CO)_{4}$ groups and another by the tripod ligand³⁹⁰.

Reaction of $Fe_3(CO)_{12}$ with $Cp_2Ni_2(\mu-HC \equiv CCMe=CH_2)$ gave the product 87, characterized by X-ray crystallography, and an isomer assigned a square planar structure³⁹¹. The structure of $FeOs_3H(CO)_{13}$ showed a tetrahedron of metal atoms with two (semi)-bridging Fe-CO-Os edges and the hydride bridging an Os-Os edge³⁹².

Some derivatives of $FeCo_3(CO)_{12}^-$ have been studied. Formation of ammonia and hydrazine in reaction of $HFeCo_3(CO)_{12}$ with $(PMe_2Ph)_4W(N_2)_2$ has been studied⁸². An X-ray structure of $HFeCo_3(CO)_{10}(PPh_3)_2$ showed the two phosphine ligands attached to

two cobalts axial to the Co₃ plane and three Co-CO-Co bridges; the H was thought to underly the Co₃ plane³⁹³. The CpMo(CO)₃Hg group occupied the same triply-cobalt-bridging position when attached to the FeCo₃(CO)₁₂ cluster³⁹⁴, as did copper and silver in (Ph₃PM)FeCo₃(CO)₁₂³⁹⁵.

 $Fe(CO)_{3}NO^{-}$ displaced iodide from attachment to a Co-Pd-Pt triangular cluster, to form 88^{396} . It reacted with $Ru_{3}(CO)_{12}$ to



form FeRu₃(CO)₁₂NO⁻ (X-ray) which was deoxygenated by CO to form the butterfly μ_4 -nitrido clusters FeRu₃N(CO)₁₂⁻. The isomers with the Fe in the wing-tip and in the hinge positions interconverted in solution³⁹⁷.

A "bowtie" FeCr₄ cluster, $[(Cp'Cr)_2(\mu-SR)(\mu_3-S)_2]_2$ Fe resulted when Fp₂ and Cp'Cr(μ -SR)₂(μ -S)CrCp' (Cp' = MeC₅H₄, R = <u>t</u>-Bu) were allowed to react at 110^o 398. Reaction of many mixed-metal tetrahedral clusters with CO has been studied, and in some cases intermediates in cluster fragmentation have been intercepted. The product **89** (Eq. 48) was subjected to X-ray crystallographic study³⁹⁹.



POST-SCRIPT A computer scan of the list of references for this 1984 survey reveals again that the top three journals accounted for more than half of all work published in this field. Of about 400 citations, <u>J. Organometal. Chem.</u> and <u>Organometallics</u> share the lead, with 86 citations each. Then, in order, come <u>J.</u> <u>Am. Chem. Soc.</u> (46), <u>Inorg. Chem.</u> (40), and <u>J. Chem. Soc.</u>, <u>Chem.</u> <u>Comm.</u> (30).

- 12. REFERENCES
- G. D. Annis, E. M. Hebblethwaite, S. T. Hodgson, A. M. 1. Horton, D. M. Hollinshead, S. V. Ley, C. R. Self, and R. Sivaramakrishnan, Spec. Pub. Roy. Soc. Chem. **50** (1984) 148-161.
- A. Salzer, Chimia 38 (1984) 421-7. 2.
- H. Schumann, Chem.-Ztg. 108 (1984) 239-51.
 H. Schumann, Chem.-Ztg. 108 (1984) 345-53. 3.
- 4.
- 5. Gmelin Handbook of Inorganic Chemistry. 8th Edition. Fe. Organoiron Compounds, Part B12. U. Krüerke, Editor. Gmelin Institut fur Anorganische Chemie der Max-Planck-Gesellschaft zur Forderung der Wissenschaften and Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1984.
- I. C. Hisatsune, Spectrochim. Acta, Part A, 40A (1984) 391-5. 6.
- A. L. Shobert, I. C. Hisatsune, and P. S. Skell, Spectrochim. 7. Acta, Part A, 40A (1984) 609-13.
- 8. S. F. Parker and C. H. F. Peden, J. Organometal. Chem. 272 (1984) 411-16.
- P. Vioget, M. Bonivento, R. Roulet, and P. Vogel, Helv. Chim. 9. Acta 67 (1984) 1638-46.
- B. S. Larsen and D. P. Ridge, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 106 (1984) 10. 1912-22.
- 11. S. J. Babinec and J. Allison, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 106 (1984) 7718-20.
- 12. D. B. Jacobson and B. S. Freiser, Organometallics 3 (1984) 513-19.
- L. F. Halle, F. S. Klein, and J. L. Beauchamp, J. Am. Chem. 13. Soc. 106 (1984) 2543-9.
- 14. D. B. Jacobson and B. S. Freiser. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 106 (1984) 3891-9.
- D. B. Jacobson and B. S. Freiser. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 106 15. (1984) 3900-4.
- D. A. Peake, M. L. Gross, and D. P. Ridge, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 16. 106 (1984) 4307-16.
- T. C. Jackson, D. B. Jacob Chem. Soc. 106 (1984) 1252-7. 17. B. Jacobson, and B. S. Freiser, J. Am.
- W. L. Grady and M. M. Bursey, Int. J. Mass Spectrom. Ion 18. Processes 56 (1984) 161-5.
- D. B. Jacobson and B. S. Freiser, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 106 19. (1984) 4623-4.
- 20. D. B. Jacobson and B. S. Freiser, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 106 (1984) 5351-2.
- K. J. Lattermann and W. Seidel, Z. Chem. 23 (1983) 445. 21.
- W. Seidel and K. J. Lattermann, E. German Patent DD 202,722; 22. cf. Chem. Abstr. 101:7435h.
- W. Seidel and K. J. Lattermann, E. German Patent DD 205,437; cf. Chem. Abstr. 101:55346b. 23.
- K. J. Lattermann, W. Seidel, and W. Schade, Wiss. Friedrich-Schiller-Univ. Jena, Math.-Naturwiss. Reihe, (1984) 195-6; cf. Chem. Abstr. 102:6760u. 24. Wiss. 33
- K. J. Lattermann, Z. Chem. 24 (1984) 262-3. 25.
- G. F. Lehr and R. G. Lawler, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 106 (1984) 26. 4048-9.
- L. M. Zubritskii, N. D. Romaschenkova, and A. A. Petrova. Zh. 27. Org. Khim. 19 (1983) 2465-77; cf. Chem. Abstr. 101:6700d.
- R. I. Khusnutdinov, V. A. Dokichev, and U. M. Dzhemilev, Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR, Ser. Khim. (1984) 2364-6; cf. Chem. Abstr. 28. 102:112899f.
- 29. U. M. Dzhemilev, S. R. Rafikov, and O. M. Nefedov, Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR, 273 (1983) 887-91; cf. Chem. Abstr. 101:38165z.

30.	C. K. Tseng, E. G. Teach, and R. W. Simons, Synth. Comm. 14 (1984) 1027-31.
31.	M. Fontecave, J. P.Battioni, and D Mansuy, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 106 (1984) 5217-22.
32.	P. Doppelt. Inorg. Chem. 23 (1984) 4009-11.
22	D Lanon D Cooling D Cuiland and V W Vadish I Am
33.	D. Lancon, r. cocorros, k. Guilard, and K. M. Kadish, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 106 (1984) 4472-8.
34.	D. Lancon, P. Cocolios, R. Guilard, and K. M. Kadish, Organometallics 3 (1984) 1164-70.
35.	P.Cocolios, G. Lagrange, R. Guilard, H. Oumous, and C.
36.	G. Lagrange, P. Cocolios, and R. Guilard, J. Organometal.
37.	K. M. Kadish, D. Lancon, and P. Cocolios, Inorg. Chem. 23
	(1984) 2372-3.
38.	S. Komiya, M. Katoh, T. Ikariya, R. H.Grubbs, T. Yamamoto, and A. Yamamoto, J. Organometal. Chem. 260 (1984) 115-9.
39.	H. H. Karsch, Chem. Ber. 117 (1984) 3123-33.
40.	H. H. Karsch. Chem. Ber. 117 (1984) 783-96.
41.	M. V. Baker and L. D. Field, J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Comm.
•••	(1984) 996-7.
42.	S. Donovan-Mtunzi, R. L. Richards and J. Mason, J. Chem.
лэ	A R Sindle R A Normank I H Dignolot and R D
-2.	Howells J Am Chem Son 106 (1084) 1510-11
44.	J. C. Huffman, N. J. Wells, and K. G. Caulton, J. Crystal-
• -	logr. Spectrosc. Res. 14 (1984) 581-7.
45.	G. Albertin, S. Antoniutti, and E. Bordignon, J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Comm. (1984) 1688-9.
46	A Butlen and R G Lingk Thong Chem 23 (1081) 2227-21
-10. JIT	A Butley and R G Linck, include them $23 (1907) 222(-3)$.
10	A Butter and A. G. Lingk, inorg. chem. 23 (1904) 4949-9.
40.	M. R. Houenin, Inorg. Chim. Acta, 03 (1964) 103-10.
49.	M. Le Plouzennec, A. Bondon, and G. Simmoneaux, Inorg. Chem. 23 (1984) 4398-9.
50.	L. A. Bottomley, M. R. Deakin, and JN. Gorce, Inorg. Chem. 23 (1984) 3563-71.
51.	H. Brunner and G. Agrifoglio. Inorg. Chim Acta 90 (1984)
	209-13.
52.	K. S. Suslick, M. M. Fox, and T. J. Reinert, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 106 (1984) 4522-5.
53.	T. G. Traylor, N. Koga, L. A. Deardurff, P. N. Swepston, and
	J. A. Ibers, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 106 (1984) 5132.
54.	D. Sellmann, U.Kleine-Kleffman, L. Zapf. G. Huttner, and L.
-	Zsolnai, J. Organometal, Chem. 263 (1984) 321-31.
55.	L. L. Costanza. S. Giuffrida. G. De Guidi, and G. Condorelli.
	J. Organometal. Chem. 273 (1984) 81-9.
56.	G. E. Gadd, M. Poliakoff, and J. J. Turner, Inorg. Chem. 23 (1984) 630-1.
57.	G. Cardaci, G. Reichenbach, and G. Bellachioma, Inorg. Chem.
E 9	23 (1904) 2930-40.
50.	Chem. Comm. (1984) 650-1.
59.	H. Berke, R. Birk, G. Huttner, and L. Zsolnai, Z. Natur-
60.	B. Gregory, C. R. Jablanski, and V. D. Wang. J. Ongewentel
50.	Chem. 269 (1984) 75-85.
61.	R. N. McDonald, A. K. Chowdhury, and P. L. Schell, J. Am.
	Chem. Soc. 106 (1984) 6095-6.
62.	R. N. McDonald, A. K. Chowdhury, and P. L. Schell, Organo-
<i>(</i> ^	

63. W. G. McGimpsey, M. C. Depew, and J. K. S. Wan, Organometal-

lics 3 (1984) 1684-6. 64. V. Riera, J. Ruiz, and F. Mayor, J. Organometal. Chem. 276 (1984) 47-54. J. T. Mague and S. E. Dessens, J. Organometal. Chem. 262 65. (1984) 347-58. A. A. Bahsoun, J. A. Osborn, P. H. Bird, D. Nucciarone, and A. V. Peters, J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Comm. (1984) 72-3. 66. 67. H. Sommer, S. Hietkamp, and O. Stelzer, Chem. Ber. 117 (1984) 3414-22. D. J. Stufkens, M. W. Kokkes, and A. Oskam, J. Mol. Struct. 68. 114 (1984) 61-4. 69. M. W. Kokkes, D. J. Stufkens, and A. Oskam, J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans. (1984) 1005-7. D. K. Liu, C. G. Brinkley, and M. S. Wrighton, Organometal-70. lics 3 (1984) 1449-57. E. Lindner, E. Schauss, W. Hiller, and R. Fawzi, Angew. Chem. 71. 96 (1984) 727-8. 72. E. Lindner, C.-P. Krieg, W. Hiller, and R. Fawzi, Angew. Chem. 96 (1984) 508-9. 73. M. Poliakoff and A. Ceulemans, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 106 (1984) 50-54. 74. K. Lane, L. Sallans, and R. R. Squires, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 106 (1984) 2719-21. R. N. McDonald, P. L. Schell, and W. D. McGhee, Organome-tallics 3 (1984) 182-4. 75. J. Wronka and D. P. Ridge, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 106 (1984) 67-76. 71. G. Cainelli, F. Manescalchi, and A. Umani-Ronchi, J. Orga-nometal. Chem. 276 (1984) 205-8. 77. S. C. Kao and M. Y. Darensbourg, Organometallics 3 (1984) 78. 646-7. S. A. Roth, G. D. Stucky, H. M. Feder, M. J. Chen, and J. W. 79. Rathke, Organometallics 3 (1984) 708-14. S. C. Kao, M. Y. Darensbourg, and W. Schenk, Organometallics 80. 3 (1984) 871-6. 81. C. J. Eyermann and A. Chung-Phillips, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 106 (1984) 7437-43. T. Saito, H. Nishihara, Y. Tsurita, T. Mori, K. Nakano, M. 82. Imaeda, and Y. Sasaki, New Front. Organomet. Inorg. Chem., Proc. China-Jpn.-U.S.A. Trilateral Semin., 2nd 1982 (Pub. 1984), 199-205; cf. Chem. Abstr. **102**:71687q. J. W. Connolly, Organometallics 3 (1984) 1333-7. D. B. Beach, S. P. Smit, and W. L. Jolly, Organometallics 3 83. 84. (1984) 556-9. 85. A. N. Chernega, M. Yu. Antipin, Yu. T. Struchkov, Yu. V. Balitskii, Yu. G. Gololobov, and I. E. Boldeskul, Zh. Obshch. Khim. 54 (1984) 271-8; cf. Chem. Abstr. 100:210083p. E. H. Wong, F. C. Bradley, L. Prasad, and E. J. Gabe, J. Organometal. Chem. 263 (1984) 167-77. 86. 87. R. Appel, C. Casser, and M. Immenkeppel, Angew. Chem. 96 (1984) 905-6.88. A. H. Cowley, R. A. Kemp, E. A. V. Ebsworth, D. W. H. Rankin, and M. D. Walkinshaw, J. Organometal. Chem. 265 (1984) C19-21.

- 89. R. H. Neilson, R. J. Thoma, I. Vickovic, and W. H. Watson, Organometallics 3 (1984) 1132-3.
- 90. A. H. Cowley, J. E. Kilduff, J. G. Lasch, N. C. Norman, M. Pakulski, F. Ando, and T. C. Wright, Organometallics 3 (1984) 1044-50.
- 91. D. A. DuBois, E. N. Duesler, and R. T. Paine, Organometallics 3 (1984) 1913-15.

A. H. Cowley, N. C. Norman, and M. Pakulski, J. Am. Chem. 92. Soc. 106 (1984) 6844-5. 93. A. Dedieu and S. Nakamura, Nouv. J. Chim. 8 (1984) 317-29. 94. A. Dedieu and S. Nakamura, J. Organometal. Chem. 260 (1984) C63-6. K. R. Lane, L. Sallans, and R. R. Squires, Inorg. Chem. 23 95. (1984) 1999-2000. 96. B. A. Narayanan and J. K. Kochi, J. Organometal. Chem. 272 (1984) C49-53. P. J. Krusic, W. J. Cote, and A. Grand, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 106 97. (1984) 4642. 98. K. C. Brinkman, A. Blakeney, W. Krone-Schmidt, and J. A. Gladysz, Organometallics 3 (1984) 1325-32. W. Keim, M. Roper, H. Strutz, and C. Kruger, Angew. Chem. 96 99. (1984) 422-4. 100. P. L. Toupet, B. Weinberger, H. Des Abbayes, and U. Gross, Acta Cryst. C40 (1984) 2056-8. 101. S. S. Ullah, M. E. Molla, and M. Karim, J. Bangladesh Acad. Sci. 8 (1984) 75-9; cf. Chem. Abstr. 101:171456m. 102. S. S. Ullah, S. E. Kabir, A. K. F. Rahman, and M. Karim, Indian J. Chem., Sect. B, 23B (1984) 103-5. 103. G. Tanguy, B. Weinberger, and H. Des Abbayes, Tetrahedron Lett. 25 (1984) 5529-32. E. O. Fischer, J. Schneider, and K. Ackermann, Z. Natur-104. forsch., B: Anorg. Chem., Org. Chem. 39B (1984) 468-73. 105. E. O. Fischer, J. Schneider, and D. Neugebauer, Angew. Chem. 96 (1984) 814-5. 106. L. L. Costanzo, S. Giufridda, G. De Guidi, and G. Condorelli, Congr. Naz. Chim. Inorg., [Atti], 15th (1982) 207-10. 107. H. Berke, U. Grossmann, G. Huttner, and L. Zsolnai, Chem. Ber. 117 (1984) 3432-42. 108. M. F. Semmelhack, R. Tamura, W. Schnatter, and J. Springer, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 106 (1984) 5363-4. 109. D. Touchard, P. H. Dixneuf, R. D. Adams, and B. E. Segmuller, Organometallics 3 (1984) 640-2. 110. H. Le Bozec, P. H. Dixneuf, and R. D. Adams, Organometallics 3 (1984) 1919-21. 111. P. Robert, B. Demersman, and P. H. Dixneuf, Organometallics 3 (1984) 1771-2. 112. J. P. Battioni, D. Dupre, P. Guerin, and D. Mansuy, J. Organometal. Chem. 265 (1984) 53-64. 113. J. A. Hofmann, Jr. and D. F. Bocian, Inorg. Chem. 23 (1984) 1177-80. 114. D. Lancon and K. M. Kadish, Inorg. Chem. 23 (1984) 3942-7. 115. K. E. Lewis, D. M. Golden, and G. P. Smith, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 106 (1984) 3905-12. 116. N. J. Bristow, B. D. Moore, M. Poliakoff, G. J. Ryott, and J. J. Turner, J. Organometal. Chem. 260 (1984) 181-7. S. A. Fairhurst, J. R. Morton, R. N. Perutz, and K. F. Preston, Organometallics 3 (1984) 1389-91. 117. 118. A. S. Goldman and D. R. Tyler, Organometallics 3 (1984) 449-56. 119. A. P. Marchand, D. B. Goodin, M. B. Hossain, and D. Van Der Helm, J. Org. Chem. 49 (1984) 2897-900. 120. M. A. Radhi and L. Marko, J. Organometal. Chem. 262 (1984) 359-64. 121. W. Petz, J. Organometal. Chem. 270 (1984) 81-91. 122. A. B. Anderson and D. B. Kang, Inorg. Chem. 23 (1984) 1170-72. 123. F. U. Axe and D. S. Marynick, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 106 (1984) 6230-5.

- 124. S. S. Ullah, S. E. Kabir, M. E. Molla, A, K. F. Rahman, and M. Karim, Indian J. Chem., Sect. A 23A (1984) 637-8.
- 125. M. E. Miller and E. R. Grant, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 106 (1984) 4635-6.
- 126. H. Fleckner, F. W. Grevels, and D. Hess, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 106 (1984) 2027-32.
- 127. J. Huang, M. Wang, J. Huang, and J. Lu, Jeigou Huaxue 2 (1983) 157-62; cf. Chem. Abstr. 101:152027f.
- 128. H. Yasuda, I. Noda, S. Miyanaga, and A. Nakamura, Macromolecules 17 (1984) 1453-4. 129. G. Cardaci, J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans. (1984) 815-8. 130. H. Brunner, H. Weber, I. Bernal, and G. M. Reisner, Organome-
- tallics 3 (1984) 163-70.
- 131. Y. Otsuji and T. Ueda, New Front. Organomet. Inorg. Chem., Proc. China-Jpn.-U.S.A. Trilateral Semin., 2nd 1982 (pub. 1984) 179-87; cf. Chem. Abstr. 102:204082n.
- 132. A. M. Horton, D. M. Hollinshead, and S. V. Ley, Tetrahedron 40 (1984) 1737-42.
- 133. S. T. Hodgson, D. M. Hollinshead, and S. V. Ley, J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Comm. (1984) 494-6.
- 134. J. R. Bleeke and M. K. Hays, Organometallics 3 (1984) 506-8.
- 135. A. J. Birch, W. D. Raverty, and G. R. Stephenson, Organometallics 3 (1984) 1075-9.
- 136. A. V. Benedetti, V. M. Nogueira, and E. J. S. Vichi, An. Simp. Bras. Eletroquim. Eletroanal., 4th (1984) 471-6; cf. Chem. Abstr. 101:139565k.
- 137. J. A. S. Howell, D. T. Dixon, and J. C. Kola, J. Organometal. Chem. 266 (1984) 69-82.
- 138. J. A. S. Howell, J. C. Kola, D. T. Dixon, P. M. Burkinshaw, and M. J. Thomas, J. Organometal. Chem. **266** (1984) 83-96. 139. M. I. Rybinskaya, L. V. Rybin, N. A. Stelzer, I. A. Garbuso-
- va, and B. V. Lokshin, J. Organometal. Chem. 265 (1984) 291-4.
- 140. L. V. Rybin, N. A. Stelzer, I. A. Garbusova, B. V. Lokshin, and M. I. Rybinskaya, J. Organometal. Chem. 256 (1984) 295-303.
- 141. M. F. Semmelhack and H. T. M. Le, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 106 (1984) 2715-17.
- 142. S. Aime, R. Gobetto, D. Osella, G. E. Hawkes, and E. W.
- Randall, J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans. (1984) 1863-6. 143. R. Marx, B. Vennemann, and E. Effelmann, Phys. Rev. B: Con-dens. Matter 29 (1984) 5063-8.
- 144. J. M. McCall, J. R. Morton, and K. F. Preston, Organometallics 3 (1984) 238-40.
- 145. J. M. McCall, J. R. Morton, Y. Le Page, and K. F. Preston, Organometallics 3 (1984) 1299-1303.
- 146. R. Corriu, N. Escudie, and C. Guerin, J. Organometal. Chem. 264 (1984) 207-16.
- 147. Z. Goldschmidt and Y. Bakal, J. Organometal. Chem. 269 (1984) 191-200. 148. V. N. Postnov, V. G. Andrianov,
- Yu. T. Struchkov, A. M. Baran, and V. A. Sazonova, J. Organometal. Chem. 262 (1984) 201-13.
- 149. R. Greé, M. Labassi, P. Mosset, and R. Carrié, Tetrahedron Lett. 25 (1984) 3693-6.
- 150. R. Greé, J. Kessabi, P. Mosset, J. Martelli, and R. Carrie, Tetrahedron Lett. 25 (1984) 3697-700.
- 151. N. Morita, M. Oda, and T. Asao, Tetrahedron Lett. 25 (1984) 5419-22.
- 152. C. A. Barras, R. Roulet,, P. A. Carrupt, F. Berchier, and P. Vogel, Helv. Chim. Acta 67 (1984) 986-99.

- 153. C. Barras, R. Roulet, and P. Vogel, Inorg. Chim. Acta 82 (1984) L1-3.
- 154. J. W. Chinn, Jr. and M. B. Hall, Organometallics 3 (1984) 284-8.
- 155. F. J. Lalor, L. H. Brookes, G. Ferguson, and M. Parvez, J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans. (1984) 245-8.
- 156. T. Rukachaisirikul, S. Arabi, F. Hartstock, N. J. Taylor, and A. J. Carty, Organometallics 3 (1984) 1587-9.
 157. H. Butenschoen and A. De Meijere, Angew. Chem. 96 (1984) 722-
- 23. 158. C. C. Santini and F. Mathey, J. Organometal. Chem. 266 (1984) 285-93.
- 159. R. Yamaguchi, Y. Nakazono, and M. Kawanisi, Bull Chem. Soc. Jpn. 57 (1984) 2331-2.
- 160. M. F. Semmelhack and J. W. Herndon, J. Organometal. Chem. 265 (1984) C15-18.
- 161. L. A. Paquette, R. G. Daniels, and R. Gleiter, Organometallics 3 (1984) 560-7.
- 162. B. F. Anderson and G. B. Robertson, Acta Cryst., Sect. C: Cryst. Struct. Commun. C40 (1984) 251-3.
- 163. M. A. Carrando and D. Rogers, J. Organometal. Chem. 276 (1984) 219-30.
- 164. A. J. Birch, W. D. Raverty, S. Y. Hsu, and A. J. Pearson, J. Organometal. Chem. 260 (1984) C59-62.
- 165. P. Eilbracht, R. Jelitte, and L. Walz, Chem. Ber. 117 (1984) 3473-89.
- 166. B. M. R. Bandara and A. J. Birch. J. Organometal. Chem. 265 (1984) C6-8.
- 167. B. M. R. Bandara, A. J. Birch, and L. F. Kelly, J. Org. Chem. 49 (1984) 2496-8.
- 168. E. Mincione, S. Corsano, and P. Bovicelli, Gazz. Chim. Ital. 14 (1984) 85-7.
- 169. Z. Goldschmidt, S. Antebi, and I. Goldberg, J. Organometal. Chem. 260 (1984) 105-13.
- D. Cohen, and I. Goldberg, J. 170. Z. Goldschmidt, S. Antebi, Organometal. Chem. 273 (1984) 347-59.
- 171. K. Broadley, N. G. Connelly, R. M. Mills, M. W. Whiteley, and P. Woodward, J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans. (1984) 683-8.
 172. R. C. Kerber, D. Glasser, and B. Luck, Organometallics 3
- (1984) 840-5.
- 173. S. S. Ullah, S. E. Kabir, M. E. Molla, and M. Karim, Indian J. Chem., Sect. A 23A (1984) 404-5.
- 174. S. S. Ullah, S. E. Kabir, M. E. Molla, and S. M. Wahiduzzaman, Indian J. Chem., Sect. A 23A (1984) 180-2.
- 175. O. Eisenstein, W. M. Butler, and A. J. Pearson, Organometal-lics 3 (1984) 1150-7.
- 176. L. A. P. Kane-Maguire, E. D. Honig, and D. A. Sweigart, J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Comm. (1984) 345-7.
- 177. D. A. Brown, N. J. Fitzpatrick, Organometallics 3 (1984) 1137-84. W. K. Glass, P. K. Sayal,
- 178. A. J. Pearson, S. L. Kole, and T. Ray, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 106 (1984) 6060-74.
- 179. L. Harland, G. R. Stephenson, and M. J. Whittaker, J. Organometal. Chem. 263 (1984) C30-32.
- 180. M. J. Buckingham, B. W. Fitzsimmons, and I. Sayer, J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Comm. (1984) 339-41.
- 181. J. G. Atton, D. J. Evans, L. A. P. Kane-Maguire, and G. R. Stephenson, J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Comm. (1984) 1246-8.
- 182. A. J. Pearson, I. C. Richards, and D. V. Gardner, J. Org. Chem. 49 (1984) 3887-91.
- 183. J. C. Watkins and M. Rosenblum, Tetrahedron Lett. 25 (1984)

2097-100.

- 184. M. Moll, H. Behrens, W. Popp, and P. Wurstl. Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem. 516 (1984) 127-39.
- 185. N. G. Connelly, A. R. Lucy, R. M. Mills, M. W. Whitely, and P. Woodward, J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans. (1984) 161-8.
- 186. R. D. Ernst, D. R. Wilson, and R. H. Herber. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 106 (1984) 1646-50.
- 187. B. D. Moore, M. B. Simpson, M. Poliakoff, and J. J. Turner, J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Comm. (1984) 972-4.
- 188. S. B. Fergusson, L. J. Sanderson, T. A. Shackleton, and M. C. Baird, Inorg. Chim. Acta 3 (1984) L45-7.
- 189.B. E. Bursten and M. G. Gatter, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 106 (1984) 2554-8.
- 190. M. L. H. Green and L. L. Wong, J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Comm. (1984) 1442-3.
- 191. D. A. Brown, W. K. Glass, and M. Turkiubeid, Inorg. Chim. Acta 89 (1984) L47-8.
- 192. S. G. Davies, J. Hibberd, S. J. Simpson, S. E. Thomas, and O. Watts, J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans. (1984) 701-9.
- 193. C. Lapinte, D. Catheline, and D. Astruc. Organometallics 3 (1984) 817-9.
- 194. S. G. Davies and S. J. Simpson, J. Organometal. Chem. 268 (1984) C53-5.
- 195. D. Catheline and D. Astruc, Organometallics 3 (1984) 1094-100.
- 196. B. H. Chang, P. C. Coil, M. J. Brown, and K. W. Barnett, J. Organometal. Chem. 270 (1984) C23-5.
- 197. D. H. Gibson and T. S. Ong, Organometallics 3 (1984) 1911-13.
- 198. C. Lapinte and D. Astruc, J. Organometal. Chem. 260 (1984) C13-15.
- 199. S. G. Davies, S. J. Simpson, and V. D. Parker, J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Comm. (1984) 352-3.
- 200. A. Stasunik, D. R. Wilson, and W. Malisch, J. Organometal. Chem. 270 (1984) C18-22.
- 201. U. S. Gill, C. C. Lee, and R. G. Sutherland, Synth. React. Inorg. Met.-Org, Chem. 14 (1984) 593-6.
- 202. M. I. Rybinskaya and V. S. Kaganovich, Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR, Ser. Khim (1984) 2147-8.
- 203. D. Catheline and D. Astruc, J. Organometal. Chem. 266 (1984) C11-14.
- 204. D. Catheline and D. Astruc, J. Organometal. Chem. 269 (1984) C33-5.
- 205. D. Catheline and D. Astruc, J. Organometal. Chem. 272 (1984) 417-26.
- 206. A. Stasunik and W. Malisch, Z. Naturforsch., B: Anorg. Chem., Org. Chem. 39B (1984) 56-60.
- 207. G. J. Baird and S. G. Davies, J. Organometal. Chem. 262 (1984) 215-21.
- 208. H. Brunner, A. F. M. M. Rahman, and I. Bernal, Inorg. Chim. Acta, 83 (1984) L93-6.
- 209. L. Weber and K. Reizig, Z. Naturforsch., B: Anorg. Chem., Org. Chem. 39B (1984) 1350-4.
- 210. D. W. Slocum, S. Johnson, M. Matusz, S. Duraj, J. L. Cmarik, K. M. Simpson, and D. A. Owen, Polym. Mater. Sci. Eng. 49 (1983) 353-7; cf. Chem. Abstr. 101:7397x.
- 211. M. M. Kubicki, R. Kergoat, J. Y. De Vanssay, J. Y. Le Gall, and J. E. Guerchais, Polyhedron, 3 (1984) 365-8.
- J. N. Louwen, D. J. Stufkens, and A. Oskam, Z. Naturforsch., B: Anorg. Chem., Org. Chem. 39B 1206-10.
 U. Schubert, G. Kraft, and E. Walther, Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem.

- 214. G. Thum and W. Malisch. J. Organometal. Chem. 264 (1984) C5-9.
- 215. H. U. Wekel and W. Malisch, J. Organometal. Chem. 264 (1984) C10-13.
- 216. J. F. Harrod and E. Pelletier, Organometallics 3 (1984) 1064-9.
- 217. E. Pelletier and J. P. Harrod, Organometallics 3 (1984) 1070-5.
- 218. C. Combes, R. J. P. Corriu, G. Dabosi, B. J. L. Henner, and M. Martineau, J. Organometal. Chem. 270 (1984) 131-9. 219. C. Combes, R. J. P. Corriu, G. Dabosi, B. J. L. Henner, and
- M. Martineau, J. Organometal. Chem. 270 (1984) 141-50.
- 220. K. H. Pannell and R. N. Kapoor, J. Organometal. Chem. 269 (1984) 59-63.
- 221. H. Nakazawa, W. E. Buhro, G. Bertrand, and J. A. Gladysz, Inorg. Chem. 23 (1984) 3431-3.
- 222. E. Lindner and C. P. Krieg, J. Organometal. Chem. 269 (1984) 65-73.
- 223. J. M. Shreeve and S. M. Williamson, Organometallics 3 (1984) 1104-7.
- 224. P. Vierling and J. G. Riess, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 106 (1984) 2432-4.
- 225. N. Kuhn and H. Schumann, J. Organometal. Chem. 276 (1984) 55-66. 226. P. M. Treichel and L. Rosenhein,
- Inorg. Chem. 23 (1984) 4018-22.
- 227. D. Catheline, E. Roman, and D. Astruc, Inorg. Chem. 23 (1984) 4508-14.
- 227a J. L. Davidson, M. Shiralian, L. Manojlovic-Muir, and K. W. Muir, J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans. (1984) 2167-76.
- 228. T. Forschner, K. Menard, and A. Cutler, J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Comm. (1984) 121-2.
- 229. H. Stolzenberg, W. P. Fehlhammer, M. Monari, V. Zanotti, and
- H. Stolzenberg, W. P. Fehlhammer, M. Monari, V. Zanotti, and L. Busetto, J. Organometal. Chem. 272 (1984) 73-80.
 L. Busetto, A. Palazzi, M. Monari, V. Albano, and F. Demar-tin, Congr. Naz. Chim. Inorg., [Atti], 15th (1982) 82-5.
 V. G. Albano, D. Braga, L. Busetto, M. Monari, and V. Zanotti, J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Comm. (19814) 1257-8.
 L. I. Zakharkin, A. I. Kovredov, V. A. Ol'shevskaya, and V. A. Antonovich, J. Organometal. Chem. 267 (1984) 81-91.
 A. J. Lindsay, S. Kim, R. A. Jacobson, and R. J. Angelici, Organometallics 3 (1984) 1523-30.

- Organometallics 3 (1984) 1523-30.
- 234. S. G. Davies, I. M. Dordor, and P. Warner, J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Comm. (1984) 956-7.
- 235. L. S. Liebeskind, M. E. Welker, and V. Goedken, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 106 (1984) 441-3.
- 236. K. Broadley and S. G. Davies, Tetrahedron Lett. 25 (1984) 1743-4.
- 237. N. Aktogu, H. Felkin, G. J. Baird, S. G. D. Watts, J. Organometal. Chem. 262 (1984) 49-58. S. G. Davies, and O.
- 238. P. G Lenhert, C. M. Lukehart, and K. Srinivasan, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 106 (1984) 124-30.
- 239. S. Javaheri and W. P. Giering, Organometallics 3 (1984) 1927-8.
- 240. D. L. Reger and E. Mintz, Organometallics 3 (1984) 1759-61.
- 241. R. S. Bly, G. S. Silverman, M. M. Hossain, and R. K. Bly, Organometallics 3 (1984) 642-4.
- 242. T.C. Flood and K. D. Campbell, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 106 (1984) 2853-60.
- 243. R. H. Hooker, A. J. Rest, and I. Whitewell, J. Organometal.

Chem. 266 (1984) C27-30.

- 244. I. R. Butler and W. R. Cullen, Organometallics 3 (1984) 1846-51.
- 245. S. J. Dolg, R. P. Hughes, R. E. Davis, S. M. Gadol, and K. D. Holland, Organometallics 3 (1984) 1921-2.
- 246. I. R. Butler, W. E. Lindsell, and M. J. K. Thomas, J. Organometal. Chem. 262 (1984) 59-86.
- 247. T. Yu. Orlova, V. N. Setkina, and D. N. Kursanov, J. Organometal. Chem. 267 (1984) 309-12.
- 248. A. S. Batsanov and Yu. T. Struchkov, J. Organometal. Chem. 266 (1984) 295-301.
- 249. R. Baker, R. B. Keen, M. D. Morris, and R. W. Turner, J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Comm. (1984) 987-8.
- 250. R. S. Glass and W. W. McConnell, Organometallics 3 (1984) 1630-2.
- 251. M. E. Wright, J. F. Hoover, G. O. Nelson, and C. P. Scott, J. Org. Chem. 49 (1984) 3059-63.
- 252. F. Giulieri and J. Benaim, J. Organometal. Chem. 276 (1984) 367-76.
- 253. K. Tatsumi and R. Hoffmann, Inorg. Chem. 23 (1984) 1633-4.
- 254. J. I. Seeman and S. G. Davies, J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Comm. (1984) 1019-21.
- 255. K. A. M. Kremer and P. Helquist, Organometallics 3 (1984) 1743-5.
- 256. M. Cooke, N. J. Forrow, and S. A. R. Knox, J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans. (1983) 2435-40.
- 257. P. T. Barger and J. E. Bercaw, Organometallics 3 (1984) 278-84.
- 258. T. G. Richmond and D. F. Shriver, Organometallics 3 (1984) 305-14.
- 259. T. G. Richmond, A. M. Crespi, and D. F. Shriver, Organome-tallics 3 (1984) 314-19.
- 260. M. Brookhart, S. E. Kegley, and G. R. Husk, Organometallics 3 (1984) 650-2.
- 261. R. S. Bly and G. S. Silverman, Organometallics 3 (1984) 1765-7.
- 262. G. Consiglio, F. Bangerter, C. Darpin, F. Morandini, and V. Lucchini, Organometallics 3 (1984) 1446-8.
- 263. D. L. Reger and C. A. Swift, Organometallics 3 (1984) 876-9. 264. C. P. Casey and W. H. Miles, Organometallics 3 (1984) 808-9.
- 265. G. H. Kuo, P. Helquist, and R. C. Kerber, Organometallics 3 (1984) 806-8.
- 266. N. E. Kolobova and T. V. Rozantseva, Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR, Ser. Khim. (1984) 2150; cf. Chem. Abstr. 102:79089s.
- 267. M. M. Singh and R. J. Angelici, Inorg. Chem. 23 (1984) 2691-8.
- 268. P. J. Curtis and S. G. Davies, J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Comm. (1984) 747-8.
- 269. G. Grotsch and W. Malisch, J. Organometal. Chem. 262 (1984) C38-42.
- 270. G. J. Baird, S. G. Davies, and T. R. Maberly, Organometallics 3 (1984) 1764-5.
- 271. G. J. Baird, S. G. Davies, R. H. Jones, K. Prout, and P. Warner, J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Comm. (1984) 745-7.
- 272. T. C. T. Chang and M. Rosenblum, Isr. J. Chem. 24 (1984) 99-104.
- 273. M. Marsi and M. Rosenblum, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 106 (1984) 7264-6.
- 274. D. L. Reger, K. A. Belmore, E. Mintz, and P. J. McElligott, Organometallics 3 (1984) 134-40.
- 275. M. Bassetti, A. Catizona, G. Cerichelli, and B. Floris, J.

- 276. L. I. Zakharkin and V. V. Kobak, J. Organometal. Chem. 270 (1984) 229-35.
- V. L. Shirokii, Z. P. Zubreichuk, N. A. Maier, 277. A. A. Erdman. and Yu. A. Ol'dekop, Vestsi Akad. Nauk BSSR, Ser. Khim Nauk (1984) 74-8; cf. Chem. Abstr. 101: 171445g.
- 278. F. Mercier and F. Mathey, J. Organometal. Chem. 263 (1984) 55-66.
- 279. E. Deschamps, F. Mathey, C. Knobler, and Y. Jeannin, Organometallics 3 (1984) 1144-50.
- 280. P. Bickert, B. Hildebrandt, and K. Hafner, Organometallics 3 (1984) 653-7.
- 281. A. M. McNair, J. L. Schrank, and K. R. Mann, Inorg. Chem. 23 (1984) 2633-40.
- 282. E. Roman, S. Hernandez, and M. Barrera, J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Comm. (1984) 1067-8.
- 283. A. Federman Neto and J. Miller, Cienc. Cult. (Sao Paolo) 36 (1984) 1765-6; cf. Chem. Abstr. 102:24779m.
- 284. G. Hunter and K. Mislow, J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Comm. (1984) 172-4.
- 285. R. D. Rogers and D. C. Hrncir, Acta Cryst., Sect. C: Cryst. Struct. Commun. C40 (1984) 1160-1.
- 286. C. C. Lee, U. S. Gill, and R. G. Sutherland, J. Organometal. Chem. 267 (1984) 157-70.
- 287. J. F. Helling and U. S. Gill, J. Organometal. Chem. 264 (1984) 353-63.
- 288. R. G. Sutherland, A. Piorko, and C. C. Lee, Organometallics 3 (1984) 331-2.
- 289. W. J. Bowyer, W. E. G. lics 3 (1984) 1079-86. W. E. Geiger, and V. Boekelheide, Organometal-
- 290. D. Catheline and D. Astruc, Nouv. J. Chim. 8 (1984) 381-6.
- 291. V. Guerchais and D. Astruc, J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Comm. (1984) 881-2.
- 292. R. P. Micciche, J. J. Briguglio, and L. G. Sneddon, Inorg. Chem. 23 (1984) 3992-9.
- 293. R. P. Micciche, J. J. Briguglio, and L. G. Sneddon, Organometallics 3 (1984) 1396-1402.
- 294. J. P. Mariot, P. Michaud, S. Lauer, D. Astruc, A. X. Traut-wein, and F. Varret, Hyperfine Interact. 14 (1983) (Pub. 1984) 333-46; cf. Chem. Abstr. 101:32060q.
- 295. A. Madonik and D. Astruc, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 106 (1984) 2437-
- 296. A. M. Madonik, D. Mandon, P. Michaud, C. Lapinte, and D. Astruc, J. Am. Chem. Soc. **106** (1984) 3381-2.
- 297. M. Benard, A. Dedieu, and S. Nakamura, Nouv. J. Chim. 8 (1984) 149-57.
- 298. R. B. King and K. S. RaghuVeer, Inorg. Chem. 23 (1984) 2482-91.
- 299. G. DeLeeuw, J. S. Field, R. J. Haines, B. McCulloch, E. Meintjies, C. Monberg, G. M. Olivier, P. Ramdial, C. N. Sampson, et al., J. Organometal. Chem. 275 (1984) 99-111.
- 300. H. W. Fruhauf and J. Breuer, J. Organometal. Chem. 277 (1984) C13-17.
- 301. H. Berke, U. Grossmann, G. Huttner, and L. Zsolnai, Chem. Ber. 117 (1984) 3432-42. 302.Y.-F. Yu, C.-N. Chau, A. Wojcicki, M. Calligaris, G. Nardin,
- and G. Balducci, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 106 (1984) 3704-5.
- 303. H. R. Allcock, P. R. Suszko, L. J. Wagner, R. R. Whittle, and B. Boso, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 106 (1984) 4966-77.
- 304. R. A. Jackson, R. Kanluen, and A. Poë, Inorg. Chem. 23 (1984) 523-7.

- 305. Y. F. Yu, J. Gallucci, and A. Wojcicki, J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Comm. (1984) 653-5.
- 306. R. L. DeCock, E. J. Baerends, and R. Hengelmolen, Organometallics 3 (1984) 288-92.
- 307. A. Sevin, H. Yu, and P. Chaquin, J. Organometal. Chem. 262 (1984) 391-405.
- 308. K. S. Bose, E.Sinn, and B. A. Averill, Organometallics 3 (1984) 1126-8.
- 309. C. Glidewell and A. R. Hyde, Inorg. Chim. Acta, 87 (1984) L15-16.
- 310. E. A. Chernyshev, O. V. Kuz'min, A. V. Lebedev, A. I. Gusev, N. I. Kirillova, N. G. Komalenkova, N. S. Nametkin, and V. D. Tyurin, Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR, Ser. Khim. (1984) 633-5; cf. Chem. Abstr. 101:91158a.
- 311. A. V. Lebedev, E. A. Chernyshev, O. V. Kuz'min, N. S. Nametkin, V. D. Tyurin, and A. I. Nekhaev, J. Organometal. Chem. 276 (1984) 215-7.
- 312. E. A. Chernyshev, O. V. Kuz'min, A. V. Lebedev, B. K. Kaba-nov, V. D. Tyurin, N. S. Nametkin, and A. I. Nekhaev, Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR, Ser. Khim. (1984) 2390-3; cf. Chem. Abstr. 102:79094q.
- 313. G. J. Kruger, L. Linford, H. G. Raubenheimer, and A. A. Chalmers, J. Organometal. Chem. 262 (1984) 69-79.
- 314. M. Herberhold and W. Buhlmeyer, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl. 23 (1984) 80-1.
- 315. D. Seyferth, G. B. Womack, M. Cowie, and B. W. Hames, Organometallics 3 (1984) 1891-7.
- 316. E. K. Lhadi, H. Patin, and A. Darchen, Organometallics 3 (1984) 1128-9.
- 317. H. Umland and U. Behrens, J. Organometal. Chem. 273 (1984) C39-42.
- 318. A. L. Rheingold and M. E. Fountain, Organometallics 3 (1984) 1417-21.
- 319. D. Seyferth, T. G. Wood, J. P. Fackler, and A. M. Mazany, Organometallics 3 (1984) 1121-3; corrigendum: 1933.
 320. R. L. De and H. Vahrenkamp, Angew. Chem. 96 (1984) 961-2.
- 321. N. S. Nametkin, V. D. Tyurin, V. V. Trusov, A. I. Nekhaev, G. G. Aleksandrov, N. A. Parpiev, M. T. Tashev, and Kh. B. Dustov, J. Organometal. Chem. 276 (1984) 199-204.
- 322. O. Gambino, G. Gervasio, R. Rossetti, and P. L. Stanghellini, Inorg. Chim. Acta 84 (1984) 51-5.
- 323. J. C. Daran and Y. Jeannin, Organometallics 3 (1984) 1158-63.
- 324. D. A. Lesch, J. W. Richardson, Jr., R. A. Jacobson, and R. J. Angelici, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 106 (198 4) 2901-6.
- 325. M. Castiglioni, R. Giordano, and E. Sappa, J. Organometal. Chem. 275 (1984) 119-28.
- 326. S. Arumagam, A. C. Kunwar, and C. L. Khetrapal, J Organometal. Chem. 265 (1984) 73-6.
- 327. A. Zimniak, W. Jasiobedzki, and J. Kiljanska, Bull. Pol. Acad. Sci., Chem. 31 (1983) 9-15.
- 328. J. Ros, R. Mathieu, X. Solans, and M. Font-Altaba. J. Organometal. Chem. 260 (1984) C40-42.
- 329. J. Ros, X. Solans, M. Font-Altaba, and R. Mathieu, Organometallics 3 (1984) 1014-20.
- 330. A. F. Hepp, J. P. Blaha, C. Lewis, and M. S. Wrighton, Organometallics 3 (1984) 174-7.
- 331. A. E. Stiegman, A. S. Goldman, D. B. Leslie, and D. R. Tyler,
- J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Comm. (1984) 632-3. 332. J. A. S. Howell, P. Mathur, R. Kumar, A. R. Manning, and F. S. Stephens, J. Organometal. Chem. 262 (1984) 227-41.

- 333. R. B. English, Acta Cryst., Sect. C: Cryst. Struct. Commun. C40 (1984) 1567-70.
- 334. M. E. Wright and G. O. Nelson, J. Organometal. Chem. 263 (1984) 371-3.
- 335. E. L. Hoel, G. B. Ansell, and S. Leta, Organometallics 3
- (1984) 1633-7. 336. W. Kalcher, W. A. Herrmann, C. Pahl, and M. L. Ziegler, Chem. Ber. 117 (1984) 69-78. 337.C. P. Casey, P. J. Fagan, W. H. Miles, S. R. Marder, and M.
- W. Meszaros, Prepr. Am. Chem. Soc., Div. Pet. Chem. 29 (1984) 577-81; cf. Chem. Abstr. 101:111130r.
- 338. C. P. Casey, M. W. Meszaros, S. R. Marder, and P. J. Fagan, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 106 (1984) 3680-1.
- 339. L. Arndt, T. Delord, and M. Y. Darensbourg, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 106 (1984) 456-7.
- 340. J. C. Jeffery, K. A. Mead, H. Razay, F. G. A. Stone, M. J. Went, and P. Woodward, J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans. (1984) 1383-91.
- 341. M. Green, J. A. K. Howard, A. P. James, A. N. deM. Jelfs, C. M. Nunn, and F. G. A. Stone, J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Comm. (1984) 1623-5.
- 342. R. P. Rosen, J. B. Hoke, R. R. Whittle, G. L. Geoffroy, J. P. Hutchison, and J. A. Zubieta, Organometallics 3 (1984) 846-55.
- 343. J. Keijsper, J. Mul, G. Van Koten, and K. Vrieze, Organometallics 3 (1984) 1732-9.
- 344. B. P. Gracey, S. A. R. Knox, K. A. MacPherson, A. G. Orpen, and S. R. Stobart, J. Organometal. Chem. 272 (1984) C45-8.
- 345. V. Busetti, G. Granozzi, S. Aime, R. Gobetto, and D. Osella, Organometallics 3 (1984) 1510-5.
- 346. S. C. Fletcher, M. Poliakoff, and J. J.Turner, J. Organometal. Chem. 268 (1984) 259-68.
- 347. J. R. Matachek, R. J. Angelici, K. A. Schugart, K. J. Haller, and R. F. Fenske, Organometallics 3 (1984) 1038-44. 348. S. Aime, M. Castiglioni, R. Gobetto, and D. Osella, Poly-
- hedron, 3 (1984) 175-81. 349. D. J. Chandler, R. A. Jones, A. L. Stuart, and T. C. Wright,
- Organometallics 3 (1984) 1830-8.
- 350. G. Y. Lin, and J. Takats, J. Organometal. Chem. 269 (1984) C4-6.
- 351. T. Fuchikami and I. Ojima, Tetrahedron Lett. 25 (1984) 307-8.
- 352. I. Brudgam, H. Hartl, and D. Lentz, Z. Naturforsch., B: Anorg. Chem., Org. Chem. 39B (1984) 721-6.
- 353. J. C. Bricker, N. Bhattacharyya, and S. G. Shore, Organometallics 3 (1984) 201-4.
- 354. K.-P. Schick, N. L. Jones, P. Sekula, N. M. Boag, J. A. Labinger, and H. D Kaesz, Inorg. Chem. 23 (1984) 2204-7.
- 355. A. L. Lapidus, M. M. Saval'ev, and M. V. Tsapkina, Neftekhimiya, 24 (1984) 376-81; cf. Chem. Abstr. 101:113664s.
- 356. F. Dahan and R. Mathieu, J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Comm. (1984) 432-3.
- 357. J. Vites and T. P. Fehlner, Organometallics 3 (1984) 491-3.
- 358. J.C. Vites, C. Eigenbrot, and T. P. Fehlner, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 106 (1984) 4633-5.
- 359. J. C. Vites, C. E. Housecroft, G. B. Jacobsen, and T. P. Fehlner, Organometallics 3 (1984) 1591-3.
- 360. E. Keller and D. Wolters, Chem. Ber. 117 (1984) 1572-82. 361. W. Clegg, G. M. Sheldrick, D. Stalke, S. Bhaduri, and H. F.
- Khwaja, Acta. Cryst. C40 (1984) 2045-7.
 362. V. D. Patel, N. J. Taylor, and A. J. Carty, J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Comm. (1984) 99-100.

- S. Hietkamp, H. Sommer, and O. Stelzer, Angew. 363. D. J. Brauer, Chem. 96 (1984) 696-7.
- 364. K. Knoll, O. Orama, and G. Huttner, Angew. Chem. 96 (1984) 989.
- 365. K. H. Whitmire, C. B. Lagrone, M. R. Churchill, J. C. Fettinger, and J. V. Biondi, Inorg. Chem. 23 (1984) 4227-32.
- 366. J. P. Fackler, Jr., A. M. Mazany, D. Seyferth, H. P. Withers, Jr., T. G. Wood, and C. F. Campana, Inorg. Chim. Acta, 82 (1984) 31-3.
- 367. A. Winter, L. Zsolnai, and G. Huttner, J. Organometal. Chem. 269 (1984) C29-32.
- 368. W. A. Herrmann, C. Hecht, M. L. Ziegler, and T. Zahn, J. Organometal. Chem. 273 (1984) 323-31.
- 369. W. A. G. Graham and J. R. Moss, J. Organometal. Chem. 270 (1984) 237-43.
- 370. J. W. Kolis, E. M. Holt, J. A. Hriljac, and D. F. Sbriver, Organometallics 3 (1984) 496-8.
- 371. S. I. Al-Resayes, P. B. Hitchcock, M. F. Meidine, and J. F. Nixon, J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Comm. (1984) 1080-2.
- 372. P. Braunstein, U. Schubert, and M. Burgard, Inorg. Chem. 23 (1984) 4057-64.
- G. A. Vaglio, and M. Valle, J. Organometal. 373. P. M. Lausarot, Chem. 275 (1984) 233-7.
- 374. T. Venalainen and T. Pakkanen, J. Organometal. Chem. 266 (1984) 269-83.
- 375. F. Richter, E. Roland, and H. Vahrenkamp, Chem. Ber. 117 (1984) 2429-37.
- 376. P. N. Lindsay, B. M. Peake, B. H. Robinson, J. Simpson, U. Honrath, H. Vahrenkamp, and A. M. Bond, Organometallics 3 (1984) 413-26.
- 377. U. Honrath and H. Vahrenkamp, Z. Naturforsch., B: Anorg. Chem., Org. Chem. 39B (1984) 545-54.
- 378. K. Fischer, M. Mueller, and H. Vahrenkamp, Angew. Chem. 96 (1984) 138-9.
- 379. Yu. L. Slovokhotov, Yu. T. Struchkov, V. E. Lopatin, and S. P. Gubin, J. Organometal. Chem. 266 (1984) 139-46.
- 380. C. P. Horwitz and D. F. Shriver, Organometallics 3 (1984) 756-8.
- 381. T. P. Fehlner and C. E. Housecroft, Organometallics 3 (1984) 764-74.
- 382. S. Harris and J. S. Bradley, Organometallics 3 (1984) 1086-93.
- 383. S. D. Wijeyesekera, R. A. Hoffmann, and C. N. Wilker, Organometallics 3 (1984) 962-70.
- 384. P. L. Bogdan, K. H. Whitmire, J. W. Kolis, D. F. Shriver, and E. M. Holt, J. Organometal. Chem. 272 (1984) 169-77. 385. N. Dupre, H. M. J. Hendriks, J. Jordanov, J. Gaillard, and P.
- Auric, Organometallics 3 (1984) 800-2.
- 386. A. Ceriotti, P. Chini, A. Fumagalli, T. F. Koetzle, G. Longoni, and F. Takusagawa, Inorg. Chem. 23 (1984) 1363-8. 387. C. P. Horwitz, E. M. Holt, and D. F. Shriver, Inorg. Chem.
- 23 (1984) 2491-9.
- 388. I. D. Salter and F. G. A. Stone, J. Organometal. Chem. 260 (1984) C71-4.
- 389. G. Doyle, K. A. Eriksen, and D. VanEngen, Chem. & Eng. News, Sept. 10, 1984, 33.
- 390. C. E. Briant, R. G. Smith, and D. M. P. Mingos, J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Comm. (1984) 586-8.
- 391. M. L. Nanni Marchino, E. Sappa, A. M. Manotti Manfredi, and A. Tiripicchio, J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans. (1984) 1541-6.
- 392. D. T. Eadie, H. D. Holden, B. F. G. Johnson, and J. Lewis,

J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans. (1984) 301-4.

- 393. E. Iiskola and T. Pakkanen, Acta Chem. Scand., Ser. A A38 (1984) 731-4.
- 394. P. Braunstein, J. Rose, A. Tiripicchio, and M. Tiripicchio-Camellini, J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Comm. (1984) 391-2.
- 395. P. Braunstein and J. Rose, J. Organometal. Chem. 262 (1984) 223-6.
- 396. P. Braunstein, C. De Meric de Bellefon, and M. Ries, J. Organometal. Chem. 262 (1984) C14-18.
- 397. D. E. Fjare and W. L Gladfelter, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 106 (1984) 4799-810.
- 398. A. A. Pasynskii, I. L. Eremenko, G. S. Gasanov, Yu. T. Struchkov, and V. E. Shklover, J. Organometal. Chem. 276 (1984) 349-62.
- 399. F. Richter, M. Mueller, N. Gartner, and H. Vahrenkamp, Chem. Ber. 117 (1984) 2438-51.